G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20 12-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02

(Muzrai)

File No. 55 Section 1

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1901.

SUBJECT.

Directs the management of the Inam Villages endowed to the Sri Ahobala Mutt be — temporarily taken over by Government in Muzrai department

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— Mismanagement of the Inam Villages & endowed to the Sri Ahobilaswamii matt & in the T. Narasipur Taluk

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 306/981 dated 25th May 1903

form the Dy Commissioner Mysore District:

The Head Quarters of of the Sri Ahobilaswamii matt is at ~~Ahobilam~~ in Tirumalkur Chingalpu District of the Madras Presidency. The matt is endowed with the Devadaya ~~mirasi~~ hereditary notified Inam lands and villages in the T Narasipur Taluk, for

Pawag
(1) Sannamanya Pimakumbalii Rs 1837-8-2
(2) Nagashallii ..... Rs 1040-2-7
(3) Nagalapura ..... Rs 132-12-9
(4) Hilinalu } Particulars not given in the records
(5) Inkanal Vrithi
(6) Darkasa Vrithi

for its support. The Swamigalmuru
died a few years ago
and owing to disputes
amongst the disciples
of the matt, no successor
has yet been appointed.
One Mr. Narasimhachar B.A.
has been appointed by
the Chief Court of Madras
as Receiver of all the properties
belonging to the matt.

In May 1901 a petition
from Gopala Krishnachar
and others of Bukkambudhi
and Nagadikalli was
received in this Office, in
which the petitioners stated
that the Swamigalmuru
as well as the Agent of
the matt died some time
back and therefore matt-
ers lands and the villages

to the matt

Villages belonging might-
be taken under Government-
management, until the
nomination of a new
Swami. This petition
was forwarded to the Dy
Commissioner for necessary
enquiry and disposal
or report if necessary.
Before a report was received
on this subject, the Dy[x]
Commissioner reported
to this office in January '02
that Appanniengar, who
had been the Agent of the
matt, died a year and
a half ago and requested
that the old stipend
order for an annual
Cash grant of Rs 8-12-0
payable

Opr No 1336 dated
17th June '01. Slip A.

Mr. H. Rammujengar

payable to the matt, which
stood in the name of the
deceased Agent Rajamiengar
might be cancelled and
fresh order issued in the
name of the newly appointed
Agent Shashachi Iyengar -
The Dy Commr was with reference
to this letter asked to furnish
~~[illegible]~~ information regarding
the appointment of the new
Agent and the authority
under which the stipend
amount was disbursed
to him, without a fresh stipend
order - Reply having been
received from the Dy Commr
Mrs Kanthimija vers in November 1902,
it was observed in this office
letter no 1299 dated 11th
December 1902 that the ~~[illegible]~~

DRL no 1254 dated
18th February '02
Slip B

Slip C

~~Of obtaining~~ payment made of the stipend amount to the new Agent Shashindri Iyengar was opposed to the Late Chief Comm's Pro no 2659 - Fin 3 dated 9th July 1874, which directed that every ~~Inspm~~ Stipendiary should be furnished with a stipend Order and that payment of the Stipend amount should be made only on the production of the Stipend Order The Dy Comm was requested to explain how and on what authority he gave the order for payment of the allowance without obtaining the previous sanction of the Government thereto.

~~It was also enquired~~
Inquiry was also made
as to why further payments
should not be held in
abeyance, pending the
appointment of a successor
to the matt, especially seeing
that the allowance was
granted to the matt and
not to any individual.
3. From the Vernacular records
received from the Dy Comrs
it having been observed
that two questions in
connection with the affairs
of this matt were mixed
up together, viz, one about
the issue of a fresh stipend
order and the other about
the mismanagement of the
Inam villages of Birakurkulli
matt Sidlikulli

Nagadihalli and Nagula-
-gere, endorsed to the
matter, the Dy Commr was
further requested to hold
a thorough enquiry about
the second point and
submit a detailed
report at an early date

3. With reference to the
first point in the above
Communication, viz, about
the stipend amount
the Dy Commr reported
in his letter no 211 dated
20th February 1903, that
he had issued orders to
the Amildar of T. Narsipura
to stop the payment of the
stipend due to the new
Agent Shashadri Iyengar
the

Mr B. K. Venkataravana-
diengar - Slip E

he having failed to furnish
the accounts of Receipts
and Expenditure of the
Inam Villages of the Mutt

4. The present Communication from the Dy Comr is about the 2nd point viz the mis-management of the Mutt endowments The following facts are gathered from the Vernacular Records now received from the Dy Comr in regards the mismanagement:- No 306 dated 25th May 03

5th One Mallappa, Shanbhoga of the Mutt villages presented a petition to the Amildar of the Narasipur Taluk in August 1900, stating that the income from the Inam villages was not being applied by the Agent

and requesting that as the said Agent as well as the Swamigalawaru of the Matt were dead, the Devadanya Inam villages might be taken under Government management He presented a similar petition to the Dy. Comr also The local enquiry made by the Amildars of the 9. Municipal and the Mysore Taluks (because the Inam villages are situated in the former and the local Matt building in the Mysore city) it was clear that, owing to the death of the local Agent Appaniengar, and owing to a successor not having been appointed in the

in the room of the deceased

Swamis who died about

the middle part of the year 1900,

the management of the matt

Inam villages was not satisfactory.

The Assistant of the S. Manager

Subuk therefore proposed to

the Dy Comm^r

that until a Successor

was appointed to the matt,

the Inam villages might be

taken under Government

management; and he also

reported that the Local

Agent Shashachi Iyengar

did not furnish

the accounts of Receipts

and Expenditure of the matt

villages, although called

upon to do so -

6 Subsequently, that is,

in March 1903, Mr^s

Reports nos 70 - 85

dated 22nd July

& 4th August 1900

respectively -

Slips Fs & Gs

No 65 dated 24th

January 1903 -

Slip H

With his letter no 735 dated 17th March 1903

Mr. M. Narasimhachar B.A. who has been appointed by the ~~Chief~~ High Court of Madras as Receiver of all the properties belonging to the Akbarabad Mutt submitted to the Dy. Comr a statement of Receipts and Expenditure of the Income funds of the mutt in the Mysore State for 1900-1 and 1901-902 and rep to Feby 1903, regretting that owing to the carelessness and stupidity of his clerk in Bimalkanna-halli and other villages in the T. Narsipur Taluk these accounts were not supplied earlier to the Amildar as called for by him. The statement

shows that the total demand
for the two years was
Rs 15-3-14-11-10 and the
amount actually collected
was Rs 8425-5-6 only,
and that
the Expenditure ~~being~~ Rs
8226-14-4 The latter
includes a sum of Rs
115-6-5-2 being the cost of
Stationery (Rs 175-11-8),
Law Charges (Rs 770-5-4)
and Travelling allowance
Rly fare &c (Rs 210-4-6).
7. From the ~~statement~~ deposition
of the newly appointed
Local Agent Shrikanta
Iyengar, before the Assistant
of S. Narasipur taken
on the 14th December 1902,
it is observed that he
was appointed as the Local
Agent

Agent of the Matt about
1½ years ago by the Revd
Mr Narasimhachar, the
nomination being approved
by the Hon Dy Commr
(Mr Rammajiengar)
Hast Mutha Devaru Lakshmi
Narasimhaswamy is in
the Matt at Ahobala,
where Daya Santarpane &c
are conducted & Hast-
Utsava Devaru image
which had been taken by
the deceased Swami, is
now kept at Narasimha
-pura in the Krishnaraja-
-nagar Taluk (the Swami
having died there) where
Separate arrangements
are made for Daya &c

2nd

8 In submitting the
entire correspondence
for the orders of Govt.,
the Hon'ble Rd. Commr. Mrs
Ramaswamy Iyer states
in the letter under reply,
that from the statement
submitted by the Revenue
it is not possible to make
out the Expenditure and
Receipt for each year;
that the matter being situated
in the mofussil Presidency,
it is impossible for the
local authorities there to
ascertain the real state
of things; that consequently
it would be better to obtain
all the necessary information
from the Collectors of the
Chingalpet-

Chingulput District; and
no successor to the late
Swami having been yet
appointed and owing
unsatisfactoriness, the
Receiver appointed by
the High Court of Madras
not being on the spot,
the Swami Villages of the
Mutt are not all properly
managed. The Dy Comr
therefore suggests that
the management of the
Mutt endowments may
be taken over by Govt
pending the appointment
of a duly recognised —
successor to the Mutt

9. In connection with
a Revenue Appeal regarding
the

of one Gundappa

the restoration to Office

of the Shanbhogue of the

Gautt villages, the Darwar

has been pleased to pass

the following order

under date 22nd March 1903:—

"For the Inspector Dept

"There is no Inami

"of this Gautt which

"is in dispute in Court

"What has become of

"his villages? Some

"enquiry must be made

"at once and if there

"is no Inami, temporary

"possession of all Gautt

"property such as

"Govt Inam lands

"and villages &c should

be

re the Karim possession of

the file no 22 of 1893
Pt

referred to above is

submitted for reference

How the points for

decision are :—

(i) Should the issue of

a fresh stipend order

and

(ii) Should taking

possession of the muttt

endowments in the

State

Submitted

Ds
11/6/03

Deputy Secretary's Remarks

The question of

Government taking

up the management

of the villages belonging
to the Kobala Matt,
which are situated
in the Mysore State,
has come up for
consideration, because
the Swamii of that
Matt is dead and
no Successor has yet
been appointed, and
because mismanagement
of the Matt lands is
alleged in petitions
received in this Office
If there was a Swamii
to the Matt and if
gross mismanagement and
deviation from the
objects of the grant
were not brought
to notice, then the
Government would
not interfere with
the management

of the endowments by the Matt. It now appears that a Receiver has been appointed upon adequate security by the District Court at Chinglepet for the management of all the Matt properties in the Madras Presidency and in the territories of Mysore & Hyderbad and it is provided that he shall render accounts to the Court ~~of~~ from time to time of all amounts collected and expended This order has been confirmed by the High Court of Madras. I am doubtful whether

the Court in British India had power to include in their order the States of Mysore & Hyderbad and whether the Mysore Government is bound by that order I accordingly wrote to the Government Advocate who has stated in reply that the District Court of Chinglepet and the High Court of Madras had no jurisdiction to appoint a Receiver for property situated in the Mysore State. If the above view is correct there seems to be no objection to the Mysore Government taking up the manage-ment of the lands

situated in Mysore
Territory for and on
behalf of the Matt
as a temporary measure,
as management by
~~one~~ our Officers will be more
efficient and more
profitable to the in
-terests of the Matt.
On the other hand
if it is considered desir.
-able to have the
management of the
Mysore lands also in
the hands of the
Receiver appointed
by the British Courts,
I think a reference
may be made to the
Madras Government
through the Residency,
enquiring in what
manner the affairs
of the Matt are now

recently conducted there and whether the proceeds of the Mysore endowments, if allowed to be collected by the Receiver will be duly applied purely in the Hindu interests and the balances if any duly accounted for

If an assurance is obtained from the Madras Government to the above effect there seems to be no reason why the Receiver himself should not be allowed to manage the properties in the Mysore Territory

The question of the annual stipend of Rs 8-12-0 will be decided in accordance with the orders passed

on the question of
land endowments

Subd to the Dewan
7/7/03

9/7/03

63/07
Letters re the
Inam settlement papers
of their Inam villages

19.VII.03

Under our orders
the Surveyor has
since approved by us
please note Mr. Foot-
also

Write to Inam Supdt
20/7/03

note contained

Mr Inam Superintt ~~has~~ Submitted Copies of the Inam
Regrs pertaining to the
inam lands as noted in
the margin All the villages
have been finally confirmed
as "Devadaya" to Srikalore
Sri Ahobala Swami Mutt

who was written to on
the subject, thus

Ronnegasandra Village
Ronnakanhalli (Sarvamanya)
Nagalgere Village
Mugadahalli village

Col 9 of the Reg^r relating to the Inam of Rangasandra Village is in detail regarding the validity of the Inam & in col 20 thereof it is remarked that in the Sanad the land is stated to have been given to the devarus (Maha Vishn & Lakshmi Narayana) in the Ahobala matt & to the Brahmins who had built houses there & resided. And, the inam to the devarus & matt have been confirmed in 1879

- Submitted

Ks
22/12/03

Subd to the Dewan

The Inam settlement papers show that the Inamdars are 'Devadaya'. I think it is desirable to take over the villages under Govt Management, and the expenses of the matt, if any, such on the application of the Receiver.

Ks
1/1/04

PTO

6941/2.4.04

Govt Rec No. 3909–14
Mag. 55–01
dated 16th June 1904.

Note continued

In a subsequent petition dated 12th October 1904, (received on the 25th October 1904), the Receiver Mr Narasimhachar states that 14 suits have been filed by him on behalf of the Matt in the Additional Munsiff's Court, Mysore. that several of these are posted for hearing on the 7th November 1904; that the Munsiff refused to grant further adjournments of the cases and

that, unless an order from the Govt of Ellapor authorizing him to proceed with the suits is produced before that date, the said suits would be dismissed and that this would entail much loss to the matt. He prays therefore that, pending disposal of his Review Petition, orders may be passed either asking the Court to adjourn the hearing of the suits or authorizing him as Receiver to proceed with the cases.

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks

bracketed copy first up

The Govt passed final orders in this case in their Proceedings No. 3909-14 Muz. F. 55-01, dated 16th June 1904, directing that the temporary management of all the properties of the Ahobala matt in the State including the lands etc be taken over by Govt in the Muzrai Department. The petitioner complains in the first place that no notice

was given to him Govt however, had before them records of the local enquiries made by the local authorities and being satisfied ~~with~~ that the management was not satisfactory and also considering the fact that the matt was without a Swamy, they passed the Order above-mentioned in their executive capacity with the view of preserving the properties of the matt A notice to the petitioner appears to have been unnecessary

In the next place the petitioner argues that the High Court of Madras had power to appoint him as Receiver as regards the properties situated in Mysore also, and he quotes authorities showing that the Court has power to appoint Receivers as regards properties situated outside the limits of its jurisdiction even though they be in a foreign territory In declining to recognize the Receiver's authority in the Mysore State the Govt was guided by the advice of the

Govt Advocate who was of opinion that "the High Court of Madras had no jurisdiction "to appoint a Receiver for property situated "in the Mysore State" Even supposing that the petitioner's arguments as regards the powers of Receivers are sound, still it does not follow that the Mysore Govt was bound to accept him as Receiver, when they considered that in the interests of the Matt the properties belonging to it, situated in Mysore, had best be administered by themselves

Under these circumstances, I am of the humble opinion that it is not necessary to alter the orders by which the lands etc of the Matt have been taken over under Govt management

The petitioner points out that the puja etc services to Narasimhaswamy now performed, not at Tiruvellore, but in a village called Narasimhapura near

Kumbha Konam, will suffer if the income from the Mysore villages is withheld He also says that the daily puja and festivals to be performed in the Ahobala Matt at Mysore, Melkote and T Narsipur have to be provided for The amount to be contributed from by the Mysore villages for the performance of necessary services in these places may be ascertained and fixed hereafter

As regards suits said to have been already instituted by the Receiver, in the Additional Munsiff's Court at Mysore. some of which are posted for hearing on the 7th November 1904, I think the Govt may permit the Receiver to continue the conduct of those cases, as they, in all probability refer to transactions prior to the 1st July 1904, from which date the Govt took up the management of the lands. He will not, of course, have power to file such suits relating to transactions subsequent to that date

As I am writing this from Camp Mysore and as the matter is very urgent I have not been able to put up some of the references quoted, the collection of which will take time

E Muthadamma Rao
30/10/04

Subd to the Dewan through the 2nd Cr

141
Rd 31-10-04.

Memorandum

The undersigned begs to enquire for which he begs to enquire any authority the Joint may be considered better on. the question at present is whether the Government with the suit now pending before the Munsiff's Court & should submit his case any statement of the management of the suit pertaining have been prepared & if not the suit has

[illegible]

3/11/04

Rund
3-11-04
RS

2532
4.11.04.

I decline to grant any orders
regarding the funds and
whatever prayed - No grounds
have been made for this
Court to revoke the order under
which the endowment has
been resumed by Govt for
want of a properly appointed
Swamy of the Matt

7 X 04

8/11/04

Posted to the 2nd for before issue
11/11/04

161
12-11-04.

Seen.
15/11/04

Rund
16-11-04

Putchakratty
16/11/04

(note continued)

no 1918 D/29/11/04
MWR 53-01

Endorsement ~~a~~ in the above terms was issued to Mr R Narasimhachar, Receiver for the properties of the Matt- Narasimhachar died and he has been (it is alleged) succeeded by Mr V. N. Ramanujengar appointed as Receiver of the matt by the High Court of Madras. He now represents in his Petition no 4542 of 3 June 1905, that at the time the Govt order (taking over under their management the matt villages &c) was passed there were and still are several suits pending in the Additional munsiffs' Court at Mysore filed by the late Receiver Narasimhachar against certain raiyats of the matt Inam villages for the recovery of certain rents due by them and if these suits are not conducted to termination there will be considerable loss to the matt; that many of the suits will be barred by limitation. and he requests therefore that either he may be permitted to conduct ~~the suits~~ in his name (as Receiver of the matt) the suits now pending in the additional munsiffs' court

Summoned in his office on the 7th inst.

or to arrange for them conducted by
Govt officers with the help of the
Math agent if necessary and to
lodge the monies realized in the
Savings Bank along with the other
collections of the Math villages now
held in deposit in the Savings Bank -
He prays for issue of early orders
& states that the suits are posted
to the 5th June 1905 - His petition was
received in this Office on the 7th June 1905 -
List of suits pending in the Mysore
additional munsiffs court ~~as~~
furnished by the Receiver -

				Amount sued for
1. C.S No.	324	Math	Mallappa & Siva Basavadevaru	Rs. 138-7-8
2 "	323	"	" " "	162-14-2
3 "	321	"	" " "	213-4-0
4 "	320	"	Muntappa	328-4-6
5 "	319	"	Mari	159-0-10
6 "	363	"	Srinivasangar &c.	126-1-4
7 "	362	"	Subbasamya &c.	273-15-0
8 "	982	"	Lakshmi narasangar	1564-12-9
9 "	322	"	Raja & others	35-7-2
10 "	361	"	Lakshmala Gauda &c.	218-1-5

Submitted
Js
10/6/05

0238
C1
1517

1901
189

Transferred to the Muzzrai Branch
6.5.01

No. 2203

Name of Petitioner Gopaulakrishnachar and others of

Village Binakanahalli and Meg-dahalli

Taluk T. Narsipur

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 25-4-01

Date of Receipt 27-4-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Rec'd 7/5/01

Forwarded for enquiry & disposal or report if necessary

12/6/01

ABSTRACT

The petitioners state that the above villages and some other lands have been granted to Sri Nrisimhaswamy of the Ahobala Matt as Sarvamanya Devadaya lands; that the agent of the Matt and the Swamy died some time back; that no new Swamy and agent have yet been appointed; that a new man has come to their villages, and says that he has been sent by the

order for the collection of Kandayam ; that he demands the payment of the Kandayam to him ; that he does not keep the required account books ; and that he does not give any acknowledgment for the amount received by him

They pray that the above villages and lands may be taken under Govt management till the nomination of a new Swamy to the Ahobila Math.

Read
4/5/01

THE OFFICE OF THE ... MYSORE
... 2446

8/12

2

3

4

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಹೊಂದಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ : 30.11.2007 (ಅಪರಾಹ್ನ)

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಹೊಂದಿದ ಸಮಯ : ಅಪರಾಹ್ನ

ದಿನಾಂಕ : 2-8-2008

ಸಹಿ
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು/ಉಪವಿಭಾಗಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

Do 1336 En 1
Dr 17-6-01

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2203

The accompanying Petition No. 1317 dated 25th April 1901 received at the Dewan's Office from Gopalakrishna-char and others of Binakana halli and Megadahalli J. Narasimha Jaiak, praying that the villages and lands ~~attached to Sri Narasimha~~ endowed ~~Swamy of~~ the Ahobala Math ~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the~~ may be taken over under Government management till the nomination of a new Swamie to the above Matt, is forwarded by the D. Comr. Mysore Distt. for enquiry and disposal or report if necessary.

By Order,

BANGALORE, 190

16/6/01

~~Muzrai Secretary~~

1902

From A Ramanujayengar Esq
Offg Deputy Commissioner of
Mysore District

To L Anantasami Rao Esq BA
Deputy Secretary to the Govt of
Muzrai Dept Mysore

Dated 28 January 1902
Despatched 29
Received

General No. 201
No.

Enclosure No. One Hvlp

31/1/02



Has the honor to forward herewith the Stipend order in favor of Appanengar Agent Akobala Swamy mutt at T. Narasipur and to request that as Appanengar is reported to have died a year and a half ago, the Stipend order in his favor may be cancelled and a fresh order issued in favor of the present Agent of the mutt, viz Seshadrinagar. The descriptive roll of Seshadringa and correspondence ending with T. Narasipur Amildar's Ettelle No. 21 dated 17th August 1901 are herewith enclosed for reference.

For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Submitted

The Dy Commr may be requested to furnish information on the following points. —

Who appoints these Agents? Is it the Swamis of the mutt or the Dy Commr of the district? Does the new Agent A Shesadri Iyengar hold a Power of Attorney? Is he the Agent for Narasipur only?

for ~~the~~ the whole Province?
who succeeds to ground after the
death of Athammiyar
and under what authority?

2 The D.C may also be
asked to send a Copy of
his order No 171 dated
14th April 1901, appointing
Seshadri Iyengar as Agent.

3/2/02

1/3/02

MUZRAI

1901-02

From

To

The Dy Commr.,
Mysore District

Dated 18th Feb 4 '02

Despatched 19 "

Received

General No. 1254

Muzrai F. No. 55-01

Enclosure No. 1 & Nil

His Docket No 201, dat
28th January 1902, reporting t
Appaniengar, Agent of the Sri
Thobalaswami Matt at
T. Narsipur, died a year an Apr
a half ago, and requesting
the Stipend order held by the
may be cancelled and a fres
Stipend Order issued in the
name of Seshadriengar, the
present Agent of the Mat

—"—

Adverting to the above
has the honor to request to
furnished with informati
on the following points

(1) Who appoints agents to the Sri Thobala matt at T. Narsipur, the Swami of the matt or the Deputy Commr?

(2) Does the new Agent Seshadrengar hold a power-of-attorney from the Matt?

(3) Is he the Agent for T. Narsipur only, or for the whole Province?

(4) Who received payment of the Stipend after the death of Appanengar, and under what authority?

The Dy Commr is also requested to submit a copy his order No 171, dated 14th Jul 1901, appointing Seshadrengar Agent of the matt.

The enclosures are returned ~~An early reply is requested~~ for re-submission with the reply

By order

13/2/02
Dy Secretary
Mus Deptt

PS

From

The ~~Maraz~~ Dy Secretary to the
~~Govt~~ ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Comr
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched

Received 6th October 1902

Enclosures No.

General No 683
M. No. 55-01

Memo
This Office No. 1336 dated 17th June 1901 re the affairs of the Sri Ahobala Swami Matt at T. Narasipur

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply

"By Order"

Draft.

Dy: ~~Mur~~ Secretary.

6/10/02

1902

From M. Kantaraj Urs, Esqre
BA
Offg: Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esqre
BA
Deputy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, Muzrai Department

Dated 11th November 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Regarding the affairs of the Sri Ahobalaswami Mutt at T. Narsipur

15/11/02

Refers

Ks
15/11/02

Submd

CKRao
27.11.02
(illegible)

The letter forwarded may be called for

Ks
27/11/02

It will be observed that pending the decision of a suit in the District Court of Chenglepat for the election of a Jeer for the Mutt, a receiver has been appointed by that Court to manage the properties belonging to the Mutt, and that the said receiver has appointed a local agent with the approval of the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[signature]

for Offg Deputy Commissioner

Chingleput District

1902

FROM M. Kantha Raj Urs Esqre BA
Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqre BA
Deputy Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore, Munzrai
Department

Dated 11th November 1902

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Regarding the issue of a stipend order in favor of Seshadrienpar the present agent of Sri Ahobilaswami Mutt at T. Narsipur. —

15/11/02

Refer
Ks
15/11/02

Submd
Agent
27.11.02

Under the circumstances now explained, a fresh stipend order may be issued in the name of Seshadri Iyengar

Ks
27/11/02

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore, the 11th November
1902

From

M. Kantha Raj Urs Esquire BA
Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire BA
Deputy Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Miscl Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No 1254 of the 18th February 1902 regard-
ing the issue of a stipend order in favo
of Seshadrengar, the present agent of
the Sri Ahobalaswami Mutt at T.
Narsipur, I have the honor to report
as follows: —

(1) The Swami of the Mutt appoints
agents - with the approval of the Deputy
Commissioner - In the present
instance, however, the Swami being
dead, and no successor being as
yet appointed, the receiver, appointed
by the Chengalpet District Court

6

to manage the property of the Mutt, has appointed the agent. -

(2) The new agent, Seshadrengar, does not hold a power of attorney from the Mutt, for there is no Swamee to grant a power of attorney. He was nominated by the receiver, and the Deputy Commissioner approved of the nomination, as will be seen from the file of Kannada papers herewith resubmitted for perusal.

(3) Seshadrengar is the agent of the Mutt in respect of the properties in the T. Narsipur Taluk only.

(4) The stipend has been paid to the new agent since the death of Appa-rengar the late agent - under the authority of the Deputy Commissioner order no 171 of the 12th April 1901 the original of which is in the accompanying file of Kannada papers

I have the honor to be
sir,
Your most obedient servant

No 1299 En 2 files V.P.P.

mor: F. 55-01

D 11/12/02.

To

The Dy Commr

Mysore District-

Dated 7th December
1902

Sir,

With reference to your Letter no 93 dated 11th November 1902, recommending the issue of Stipend order in the name of Seshadri Iyengar, the present Agent of the Sri Ahobilamma matt at T. Narasipur, I am directed to observe that the act: of having limited the stipend amount to—

to Shashadri Iyengar,

on the death of the original
Stipend holder Appanniyengar
was irregular, and is
opposed to the late Chief
Comm's Proc no 2659-Fin 3
dated 9th July 1874, which
directs that every original
Stipendiary should be
furnished with a Stipend
order and that payment
of the Stipend should
be made only on the
production of the Stipend
order. I am to request you to be so good as to explain how you
gave the order for payment
of the allowance without
obtaining the previous
sanction of the Govt
thereto.

and on what authority

The Dy Comm

of the

with

Shashadri

- of the

Iyengar

at- 9

~~The allowance in question~~
~~in the absence of a fresh shipment~~
~~order. I am to request that you~~

~~will be good enough to refer~~
I am also to enquire
why these further payments
should not be held
in abeyance, pending the
appointment of a successor
to the matt, especially
seeing that the ~~account~~ allowance is now
granted to the matt, and not to any individual.
2. From the Vernacular
records now received
with your letter under
reply, it is observed
that two questions in
connection with the affairs
of this matt are mixed
up together — one about
the issue of a fresh
shipment order in the
name of Sheshadri
Iyengar, and the other
about

the mismanagement of
the matt affairs. Several
complaint petitions about
the latter are found in
the enclosed records,
duly presented by one
Mallappa, who appears
to be the Shanbhogue of
the Inam villages of
Basinakunahalli, Migadahalli
and Nagalapura, endowed
to the Ahobalaswami matt.
Besides, the Amildar
of the I. Narasipur Taluk,
in whose jurisdiction
the Inam lands are situated,
also
appears to have reported
the Sub-Div Officer of Chamarajnagar
to the Dy Commr, in his
Memo no 70 dated 22nd
July 1900, that the Inam
lands of the matt in
his

his Talukdari were being
mismanaged and there-
therefore they should
be taken over under
Government management,
until a successor ~~was~~ had
appointed to the matt.
No further action seems
to have been taken either
on the Complaint petns
of Shanbhogue Nadhuppa
or on the Report of
the Amildar; but, on
the other hand, the
as Agent-
nomination of Shashadri
Iyengar made by the
Receiver of the matt,
was then recognised by
us Dy Commissioner, without
any question and

and without ~~[illegible]~~ enquiring
the matter for Government
orders.

3. As the Zamin lands
are endowed to the Mutt
for the due conduct
of the Pujas & services,
it is the duty of the
local magistrate officers
& the Head the income
therefrom is properly
utilized towards the
Mutt. But, neither
the Tasildar
the newly appointed Agent,
nor the Receiver, seems
have been called upon
the Dy Commissioner
number Accounts
of the Receipts and Expenditure
from these Zamin Villages,
to satisfy himself that there
was is

is no room for any misappropriation.

4 I am therefore to request that you will kindly hold a thorough enquiry into the alleged mismanagement of the Villages in question and as to whether the prescribed religious rites are being rendered in the Matt, and submit a detailed report on the subject at an early date.

Cases returned for reference and resubmission

I have &c

8/12/02

Dy Secretary

Municipal Dept

&

MUZRAI

1902 —1903

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Comr
Mysore Distt

Dated

Despatched 8th ~~November~~ December 02

Received December 02

General No. 1263

Muzrai F No. 53-1907

Enclosure No. -

This letter no 92 dated 11th November 1902 submitting a report on the petn of

This Office memo no 1336 dated 17th June 1901 forwarding for disposal or report if necessary petn no 2203/1317 dated 25th April 1901 from Gopalakrishna-char and others of Binakankalli and Megadahalli, T. Narsipur Taluk, re the management of the villages and lands endowed to the Ahobala matt

Has

Have the honour to request that the petition referred to in the Minute may be returned to this Office, for reference

By order

DFC

4/11/12

by Secretary

Murray Rept

RS

~~189~~ 1902

FROM H. Ramanujeyar Esqre
Officiating Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqre BA
Deputy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Mysore Dept.

Dated 11th December

Despatched 12"

Received

General No. 143

No.

Enclosure No. one



Refers
to
15/12/02

Deputy Secretary's
No. 1263 of the 8th instant,
calling for the return of
the petition of Gopala Krishna
char and others of Bina
-kanahalli and Megadahalli,
T. Narsipur Taluk, in re
the management of the
villages and lands endowed
to the Ahobala Matt.

In reply, has the honor
to return the petition
as

MUZRAI

1902—1903

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Dy Comr -
Mysore Dt

Dated
Despatched 17th December '02
Received

General No. 1369
Muzrai F. No. 55-01
Enclosure No. 1

His No 1043 dated the 9th instant, returning the petition of Gopala Krishna char and others of Bina-Kankalli & Hegadahalli in the D. Narsipur Taluk, re the mismanagement of the villages and lands endowed to the Sri Ahobalaswami Matt

—— " ——

Has the honor to invite the attention of the Dy Comr to paras

2 to 4 of this Office letter
No. 1299 dated 11th
Murch 55.01
December 1902 and
to request that the report
thereon called for may
be submitted ~~without~~ at an early date ~~delay~~.

2. The enclosure is
herewith returned for
reference and
return to Commission.

By order

Offg. 17/12/02

Dy Secretary
Mineral Dept

17/12/02



1903

From

The Naturalist in charge
Ba

Receiver for the
properties of the
Ahobila mutt

To The Secretary to the
Govt: of Mysore
Museum & Dept
No 693
Dated 4.2.03
Recd

E. I.

Subject

Forwarding a Copy of
the High Court of Madras
appointing him Receiver &
requesting that any information
required in connection with the
Inam villages of the Mutt, may
Communicated to him

To

M. R. Ry

M. Narasimha Chariar BA

Receiver

for the properties of

the Akbarabad matt

Trivellore

No. 1687

Dated 18th February 1903

This Letter no 693

dated 4th February 1903

stating that he has been

appointed a Receiver for

the properties of the Akbarabad

matt and requesting

information required or

reference made in connection

with the above matter, may

be communicated to him

With reference to the

Chairman is informed
that the Dy Commr of
the Mysore District has
been requested to submit
a detailed report regarding
the affairs of the Mutt
and the endowments thereof
situated in the Mysore
State, and the matter will be dealt with
in due course.

Said Dft
for

24/2/13
Dy Secy

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt

Dated 18th February, 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 1686 & 1687
Muzrai F. No. 54-01
Enclosure No. 4 E.

Have the honor to forward herewith a Petition Reg.d No 1289 dated 4th February 1903 from Mr. Narasimha Charier B.A. stating that he has been appointed a Receiver for the properties of the Akbala Mutt and that any information required or reference made in connection with the ~~Law cases~~ above matter may be communicated to him.

2. The Deputy Comm.r

MGCPB—2,000—7-6 02

is requested to submit
at an early date the
report called for regarding
the mismanagement
of the villages and ~~endo~~
lands endowed to the
Matt.

By Order
17/2
Dft Dy Secy
Mun Deptt

2 ✓
14/2/03

Ernst Wpstrz 17/2

653.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 211
No.

Enclosure No.

Reported that the amount of transport charges deducted not to pay the stipend account due to the absence of Mr. Nicholls Inspector Nort. Div. Transport.

Refers to 21/2/03

Call when the Govt Report when the Central posts reopd to in our letter of the 11th Dec 1902

26/2/03

Vide no. 1757 D 7 3.3.03.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Mysore Cantonment
the 2nd February 1903

To

The Superintendent of Police
Mysore District
Mysore Cantonment

Sir

With reference to your letter No.
1299 dated the 11th December 1902 calling
Mag. 755 of
for a report regarding the mismanagement
of the Shanu village attached to Sri. Hobala
Swami Muttath Varaiyar, I have the
honour to state that as the Agent Sesharti
Iyengar failed to furnish the accounts of
Receipts and Expenditure called for, I have
issued orders to the Amildar of Varaiyar
to stop the payment of the Stipend due
to him.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Dt.

Dated ~~Feb 1903~~

Despatched 3d March 1903.

Received

General No. 1757

Muzrai F. No. 55-01

Enclosure No.

His letter no 211 dy
20 to Feb 1903 reporting
that the Amildar of
T Narasipur has been
directed not to pay
the Stipend amount
due to the Agent of the
Sri Ahobala matt at
T. Narasipur

The Dy Commissioners
attention is again invited

MGCPB—2,000—7-6 02

to the office letter No
1299 dated 7th December
1902 and he is requested
to report upon the
several points referred
to therein. ~~Accordingly~~
detailed clear
report should be
submitted early.

By order

N.C. 3/3/03

Dy Secy
Mines & Geology

D.C.

J.S.
28/2/03



Rec'd
27/5/03
Refers
Ks

1902 190.

From C. Kuttaraj Urs Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Nagara District.

To L. Anantha Sami Rao Esq B.A
Deputy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Irrigation
Department

Dated

Despatched 25th May 1903

Received

General No. 306
No.

Enclosure No. 1 to 6

Reporting on the mismanagement of the Irrigation of Hirebola Nanjaraj Murti and Narasipur

Expenditure received Rs. 10 lakh
from the year 1900-1901 to the Sri
of Jeyaram 1303 received from
Mr. M. Varasimhachar, Secretary
of the Properties of the Tholuva Matt.
It is not possible to make out from
this the expenditure and receipt
for each year.

The Matt is at Tholuva in Trivel-
lur, Chingleput District of the Madras
Presidency and it is therefore im-
possible for the local authorities here
to ascertain the real state of this.
Consequently it would be better if
you will kindly obtain all the
necessary information from the
Collector of that District.

No succession to the late Swamii
seems to have been appointed as
yet. Even Mr. M. Varasimhachar a

the necessities provided by the Sikh
[illegible] with going in the
[illegible] with the Income-tax Officers of the Chiefs
[illegible] Provinces are not at all less
[illegible] managed as reported by the
[illegible]. If approved, their manage-
ment may be taken over by Govern-
ment pending the appointment of a
duly recognized Successor to the Chiefs.
This procedure will be in the interest
of both the Chiefs and the Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Upper District

12-4 '01

(Signed) H. Ramanujam

Copy

Offg. Deputy Commr

Early

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Govt Advocate
Bangalore

Dated 23 June 1903.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2579

Muzrai F. No. 55-1901

Enclosure No. 2

In forwarding herewith ~~Copies~~ Orders of the Chungleput District Court and the ~~and that of the~~ High Court of Madras appointing one Narasimhachar as Receiver for the endowments &c of the Ahobala matt and conferring upon him certain powers under the provisions

Intended in the amendments
winking as well as in
Statute of mysore amend

of the "Madras Rent-
Recovery Act-", Act-VIII
of 1865, has the honor
to request- to be furnished
with his opinion as to
whether there is any Act-
or Rule or precedent
enabling the Courts
in British India to
include in their orders
the foreign States of
Mysore and Hyderabad
the favor of an early
reply as requested

By order

22/6/03
Dy Secy
Mun Dept to

Gs
22/6/03

Office of the Government
Advocate in Mysore

Bangalore dated 30th June 1903

No 2579
" Cr 2



30/6/03

From,

The Government Advocate
in Mysore

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to his letter No $\frac{2579}{55-1901}$
has the honor to state that under
Section 503 of the C. P. Code a
receiver can be appointed for the
custody or management of any
property the subject of a suit
under Section 16 of the C. P Code
the property mentioned in that
Section means property situate
in British India So I am of
opinion that the District Court of
Chengalpat and the High Court
of Madras have no jurisdiction

5

to report a recent property
situated in the Province of Mysore

The Enclosures are herewith
returned.

I beg leave the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

G Pearse Esqrs
Government Advocate
in Mysore

to assert a vested property
situated in the Province of Mysore

The Enclosures are herewith
returned.

I beg leave the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

S Rama Rao
Government Advocate
in Mysore.

to request a receive, property
situated in the Province of Mysore

The Enclosures are herewith
returned.

I beg leave the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

S Ranganathan
Government Advocate
in Mysore.

Mark II
7/7/03

6-7-03

7-7-03
25

Submitted

The petitioner applies for two copies of the Dy Commrs order, appointing him as the Agent of the Stamp Vendor at T. Narsipur

7/7/03

J. [illegible] agent

He says that, in connection with the question of collecting the Kandayam, about which two suits are pending disposal, one in the Chief Court and the other in the munsiff's Court, he requires the two copies now applied for —

The copies may be granted

7/7/03

7/7/03

7/7/03

ಅರ್ಜಿ- ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ 7.7.1903

J Narasimhaiyengar

9th August 1904

Tirumalai
(Chingleput)

254

From MRR
M Narasimhacharar a/c B A
Receiver for the properties
of the Ahobila mutt.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai dept
Bangalore

Sir,

In reference to the Proceedings of the Govt: of Mysore dated 16th June 1904 No 3909-14 Muz 71. 53-01, I beg that copies of the documents mentioned below may be granted to me. It will be noticed that the management of the Inams has been taken away from me not only on the ground that my appointment as Receiver by the High Court of Madras is not valid in the Province of Mysore but also on the ground that the license from the Inam

"rulers and lands of the Mutt was not properly applied" I have therefore to memorialize to the Govt: of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore in order that it may be pleased to reconsider its views especially in regard to my character and to review its decision utterly or to modify it. Copies of the documents prayed for are therefore necessary in order to enable me to prepare my memorial.

1. Letter No 306 dated the 25th May 1903 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the alleged mismanagement of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Ahobala Mutt in

13/8/04

2. Correspondence ending with docket No 69 of 1.12.1903 from the Supdt: of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

3. Report of the local enquiry mentioned in para 2 of the Proceedings dated 16.6.04 No 3 14/May F.55.07.

×

4. Petitions received respecting the alleged mismanagement and alluded to in the said para 2 of the above Proceedings

If the Grant of the Agies involves any expense of money, I am prepared to send it on being informed as to its amount

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
M. Narasimhaiah
Receiver

2

o: 750
14:8:04. m:7:55-04

Endorsement granted to M R Ry. Mr. Narasimha chariar B A, Receiver of the properties of the Sri Ahobala Mutt, in reply to his petition, No: 569 dated 11th August 1904, praying for certain copies in connection with the Inam Villages endowed to the Sri Ahobala Mutt.

The petitioner is informed that ~~the~~ copies of ~~documents applied for~~ the reports from the Revenue Officers cannot be granted under the rules in force — On receipt of the petitions from the Dy Commissioners, whom they are sent, their copies will be granted, if the cost of preparation is borne by him —

~~Sent to the Govt of Mysore, Inam Department.~~

~~Dft~~

The petitioner referred to by him have been sent to the Dy Commr — Mysore Distt, and he may apply to them for copies there —

Dy

16/8/04

Dft

15/8/04

no: 751
17:8:04

Copy forwarded to the Dy Comr of Mysore for information —

By Order

16/8/04

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 --190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

MGCPD—1000-21-1-04

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1904-1905

From

ORE.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

PTS.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Dist

Dated
Despatched/7 14 } August 1904
Received

General No. 752
Muzrai F. No. 55-07

Enclosure No. 1 file

In returning herewith certain Vernacular papers (which were retained in this office) in connection with the Inam Village endowed to the Sri Akhala Mutt at Harsipur, has the honor to request that the petitions addressed to this office, which were sent by oversight with this office Roc 3909-14 of 16th June 1904 may be kindly retransmitted, as they are needed in this office for some reference

16/8/04

"Off" Secty to Govt
15/8/04 Muzrai Deptt

MGCPB--1000-21-1-04

MUZRAI

1901 1903

From Dy
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To
The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

Dated 22nd July 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 231

Muzrai F. No. 55-01

Enclosure No. —

Has the honor to requ
to be furnished with
Copy ~~or Copies~~ of the
Inam Register
to the grant of the margin
noted Inam lands + villages
~~as Inam~~ endowed to the Sri
matt as the same
urgently required for the
purpose
~~Connection with the grant.~~

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

Ks 21/7/03

Dy 22/7

Ks as P
1837-8-2
1040-2-7
132-12-9

(i) Gowramanya Inamkamadalli Z Reports
(ii) Megadahalli
(iii) Nagalapura
(iv) Kitvalli
(v) Talkad Kitte
(vi) Dinkam Kitte

file in the T. Narasipur Taluk

of taking over the endowments under Govt. management — the affairs of which are now grossly mismanaged and the objects of the grants are deviated after the death of the Swami of the Matt who has not yet been succeeded by another.

By order

Dy Secy
Mines Deptt

11-1-1901—1500

1903

179

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To Deputy
THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Mmzrai ~~GEN. & REV.~~ DEPTT.

Dated 4th
Despatched 5th } August 1903
Received

No. 22 of 1903 —04

Enclosure No. Three

His docket No. 231 / Mmzrai F 55-01 of the 22nd July 1903, requesting to be furnished with copies of certain inam registers pertaining to the grant of inam lands to the Sri Ahobala Matt in the T. Narasipur Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honor to forward herewith, Copies of inam registers of Sarvamanyam Binakanhalli, Nagadahalli and Nagalgere villages. Those of Hiriyalli, Talkad Vritti and Dinkam Vritti could not be sent as the villages in which they are situated are not clearly specified.

Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in
Mysore.

MGCPB—28-12-02—200

ಸಂ ಪ್ರಸಿಗ. 575/1901

ಪ್ರಿಸ್ಟನುಲಂಕಿಗೆ ವಿಂಶತಿಯಲುಪ
ರಾಯಲುಲಂ ಭಯಂದ
ಪೆ-ನರಶಿವ ರಶಿಲ್ಲುಂಗಳು ಲಾಯಿಲಶಿಕಿ
ಶಿಲಲುಂಗಿ ಲುಸಿವಶಿಂಚಲು ಗಲಿಗಿ
ಲಸ್ಥಾಲುಗಳ್ಳಲುತಕ್ಕಿ. ಲಲಂಚಿಕ್ಕಿಸ್ಟ್ರೈಕ್ಕ ಕಲುಲಂ
ದಿಸುವಕ್ಕೆ ಶಿಂಕಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಗಳು ಲು ಲಲವದಾ,
ಗಿಕಿಳಿಲಲಗಲಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಲುವುಗಳು ಲಲಂವ
ಕಿಲಂಲಶಿಳ. ಲಲಂವಸ್ತ್ರಾಲು ದಸ್ಟ್ರಲುಲಂಲಕ್ಕೆ
ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಗಳಂಚಿ? ಅದರಿಂದವರಲಂಸವಿಲ್ಲಲ್ಲಾ
ಭಿಭವರಿ ಲುಕಲಿಕಿಳಿಲುವಶಿಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಿ. ಲು
ಶಿಳ್ಳಲುಸಿಕಿ. ಭಿರಿಭಿಲುಲುಲಕ್ಕೆದೀ? ಲಲಂಚಿ
ಗಿಳಿಲುಸಾಂ ಲುಲಂಶಿಲುಂದಶಿಲಲಂಶಾಂ
ಗಳಂದಿಲುವರಿವೈಭಿಳ್ಳಿಲಂಕಂಲಂರಿಭಿಸ್ಟೆ
ರಶಿಳ. ಲಲಂವಶಿಲಿಂಗಿಳಿಲು, ವಸ್ತ್ರಾಲುದ್ದಿ
ಲುಲಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಗಳುದಂವಲಾಂಶಿಲುದ್ದೀ? ಲು

ಶೆ.

ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಗಳು ವಿಳಿಲುಲಕ್ಕೆ
ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಲುವುಗಳು ಲಲಂವಕ್ಕೆ.
ಗಿಳಿಲುಲಂ ಲಲಂವಸ್ತ್ರಾಲು ದಸ್ಟ್ರಲುಲಂಲಕ್ಕೆ
ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಗಳಂಚಿ

(Col. 19 Cont'd.)

Agraharam, and that the Vrittis were enjoyed by Tirutthalpair's Son Narasimha Chari, Chowdry, as Son Narayana Rao, by Ayyathanadhalu Annapois brother Narasimhapois, Kondapois, Mupparu Govindanna Chari Somali Gopees Raya Chari, Tirumalavadlu Venkatarayanapois, Gargeyanam Vidya Linga bhatta, Volabagilu Krishnappa, and Ahobal Pantt, that the Coopes to the Devarois were rendered & that the repairs to them in part ordered to be made through Circar. In his order No. 364 of 9th Feby 1875, the Inam Office, directed the Amil to see, how much did it require to put the temple in order, to attach the produce of the Inam till it amounts the estimated Amount, to submit a regular estimate, to issue & serve notices on the Vrittidars directing them to build houses, at the place, as per Command, & to live in them, failing which the Vrittis would be resumed, and to submit what they had stated with regard to acting up to the terms of the Command. — In reply, No. 92, of 19th August 1875, the Amil reported, that the cost of the repairs to the temple moved amounts to Rs: 1000/, that the yield of the Inam was 75 Candies (Coss. 2 Rs per Candy & two Cambus 2 Rs) net, as per rates then existed, Rs: 150/, that the estimated sum may be made good within 7 years and solicited instructions to credit the Amount of the half of the Coods of the Year shewn to the "new head." That with regard the notices being served that with the exception of one Vrittidar, the rest were not the descendants of the Inamdars, & that whether the notices were to be issued to the original Grantees or to those who were enjoying the Vrittis at the time — In order No. 7 dated 31st August

కార్యనిర్వాహక అధికారి ఎలుచరాంలు లంబాడి 27/29 8.1903 సంవత్సరం

ఎలుశ్రీ ఎలుదాస్ గారికి ఇవ్వబడిన పట్టా కాగితాలు వారికి ఇవ్వబడినట్లు ధృవీకరించబడింది. ఇట్లు మీ విశ్వాసపాత్రుడు.

క్రమ సంఖ్య	సర్వే నెంబర్	గ్రామం పేరు	తాలూకా పేరు	జిల్లా పేరు	విస్తీర్ణం			చెల్లించిన పన్ను	ఆక్షేపణలు ఏమైనా ఉంటే	గమనిక
					ఎకరాలు	గుంటలు	సెంట్లు			
1		కలాల్ల రేపు	కృష్ణాంకల్లుదాల	నల్గొండ	18	22	810	(20) ఇరవై రూపాయలు మాత్రమే	ఖరీదుదారు	ఈ పట్టా కాగితాలు ఖరీదుదారుకు ఎలుచరాంలంబాడి ఇవ్వడమైనది.
2		రంగనాకల్లుదా	బ్రిటిష్ ఇండియన్ కల్లుదా	నల్గొండ	15	14	21		ఆక్షేపణ లేదు	ఈ పట్టా కాగితాలు బ్రిటిష్ ఇండియన్ కల్లుదా రంగనాకల్లుదాకు ఇవ్వడమైనది.
3		రేపటిలా	కృష్ణాకల్లుదా	నల్గొండ	5	33	75		ఆక్షేపణ లేదు	ఈ పట్టా కాగితాలు రేపటిలా కృష్ణాకల్లుదాకు ఇవ్వడమైనది.
					4	25	77			
					6	39	79			
					9	112	81			

తేది 15-9-03 [illegible]

SC. 26914

7

మెట్ర కుంభలశిరర్ధిపలుంచి వలుబిరాయు
ణ్ణ లంఖళులందా—

చి. ఓర్ని కెరశం ల్యాస్కాళ్ళ ఆదేశంలశాళ,
కృతిస్కాం

శం ల్యాస్కాళ మచ్చాల అభ్యంబలస్థాం
మిగళ్ళ మరర్థి వలం చోట్ల్ ఫినవలు దిళువ
కృశిలర వృత్తిగళ్ళు లులరువదం శిశ్శిళులబ
రుశ్శి ఆర్థిగళ్ళు లుంవళ్ళుంబళ్ళి లుంవళ్ళాం
రుశ్శి ఆర్థిగళ్ళు లుంవళ్ళుంబళ్ళి లుంవళ్ళాం
మదళ్ళి లురుశ్శి వృత్తిగళ్ళిళ్ళ ? లాదరింద
మదళ్ళి లురుశ్శి వృత్తిగళ్ళిళ్ళ ? లాదరింద
వరమంనమేనను శిక్షిస్తే బీచవరి లులశేరి
శిళ్ళిలు మళిళ్ళి దళ్ళి లుమ్ళి లులశేరిబీళ్ళిరి
ళులు రుశ్శిదే? లుం బళిళులుంలు లాం
ళులు రుశ్శిదే? లుం బళిళులుంలు లాం
పేలు ందాం శిలుశాంశాళిదరు వరిశ్శి ళు శ్లిట్
శేం లుం రుంబళ్ళిరిన ళ్ళి లుంవళ్ళుంబళ్ళి లుంవ
శేం లుం రుంబళ్ళిరిన ళ్ళి లుంవళ్ళుంబళ్ళి లుంవ
శ్శాళదళ్ళి లుంవృత్తిగళ్ళు దుంళులంశిలుళి
లుంశిశాళలి గళ్ళున్నకంళ్ళిశ్శిళుశిశ్శిదు
ధ్వ. శా 27. 8. 1903. బిం గళ్ళుశాళ

Deputy Secretary,
Legislative Department.

18/9/03 ಫಾರಂ ನಂ. 1

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 5/16 MUDRANA SECRETARIAT 18-9-03

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದವರು ಅಂಚೆಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿ ಕಂಪೌಂಡರ್ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಫಾರ್ಮಸಿ ನಿಯಮಗಳು-21 (75-77 79 81) ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಫಾರ್ಮಸಿ ನಿಯಮಗಳು (75-77 79 81) ಅನ್ವಯಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 15 ನೇ ತಿಂಗಳು 1903 ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ

ಸಹಿ/-

ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

MUZRAI

1901

From By
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

To
The Inam Superintendent
in Mysore

Dated 31st October 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 949
Muzrai F. No. 55-1901

Enclosure No. 2

His Docket No 22 dy
4th August 1903 in re the
Inams registers pertaining
to the Inam lands endowed
to the Sri Mahala Matt
T. Narsipur Taluk

With reference to the
above has the honor to
forward herewith a copy
of the ~~Hobli~~ Communication
together with a statement received from the Amildar
of the T. Narsipur Taluk

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

~~from which~~ giving the
particulars required

2. ~~Table~~. The Inam
Registers pertaining to the
Taluk of Hirihal & Deputam
Hirihal need not be furnished
as the former is reported to
be altogether Land ~~&~~
& the latter not known.

3. The detailed Registers relating to the Inam lands situated in
~~&~~ the villages of Hirihal &
Rangasamudra referred
to in the Statement furnished
by the Amildar may be
kindly furnished at
an early date.

By order

28/10/03

Dy Secy

Dft

Ds
27/10/03

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Inam Superintt,
in Mysore.

Dated
Despatched 1st December 1903
Received
General No. 1557 & [illegible]
Muzrai F. No. Muz.F.55-01.

Enclosure No.

This Office Do Ref No 949
Muz: 55-01
dy 31.10.1903 calling for a copy of the detailed Inam Registers pertaining to the grant of Inam lands de endowed to the Sri Ahobala Matt at Nanjanpur

Has the honor to draw attention to the above & to request an early reply

MGCPB—1,000-21-7-03.

30/11/03 — Dy Secy
Muz: Dept.

1903

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, Muzrai depart Bangalore.

Dated 30th November 1903
Despatched 1st December
Received

General No. 69
No.

Enclosure No. One

Refers
Ps
2/12/03

His docket No. 949 / Muz F 55-1901 of the 28th October 1903.

As requested in the above has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Ahobala Mutt in Rangasamudra Village, T. Narasipur Taluk, and to state that from the copy of the Amildars statement received with the above, it will be seen that the Inam lands were transferred to Hithuvalli village subsequent to the Inam Settlements

Register Sheet No. 66

Taluk — Talakad

Hobli — Kasaba

Village — Binnakamahally

(Ramanagar)

an Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Binakonahally **in the**

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent.	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5

(Final Title Deed No 22)
Title Deed No 286.

1. Devadaya

No Paimaish is available.

Sammat of Sozale **in the Taluk of** Fellakad

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9

Vaqf Gas. 65-4-12
Dedicated Inams - 24-8-5
Bulance - 631-6-7=0 : 1837-8-2

For the Support of the Shrine of Hazrat Sheikh Ahmed Kaduvanay-ru and the Talkammanakudumbam-ru Tirupallore Changa Chari Dastur Mardana Pleader Shiek Ali Sahib-ru Grand Order dated 2 Requisite Revenue are efficacy Rendered

Free

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

His Highness the late Maharaja's deed of Gift, dated 25th November 1825, Gifting the Villages of

			A. T. V.
1 Benakanahally with No 1 Dakhalee	Beriz		627-4-13
Minus Jain Inams			24-8-5
		Balance	602-6-8
Plus Vinegadabole			1-5-12
		Total	604-2-4
Tharna Lagayate			574-6-7
Looksan for future cultivation			29-5-13
2. Negadahally with No 1 Dakhalee	Beriz		355-0-1
Minus Jain Chadkra Manya			1-8-0
		Balance	353-2-1
Plus Vinegadabole			0-8-0
		Total	354-0-1
Tharna Lagwali			347-2-15
Looksan for future cultivation			6-7-2
			354-0-1
3 Nagadagere with No 1 Dakhalee	Beriz		44-8-8
Minus Jain Inams			0-3-0
		Balance	44-5-8
Plus Vinegadabole			0-9-12
Tobacco			0-1-4
		Total	45-6-8

in all 3 asadees with their 3 Dakhalees, assessed at C.P. 1027-3-6 Minus Jain Inams Rs 26-9-8, balance Rs 1000-4-14 Plus Vinegadabole Rs 3-4-12 or total Rs 1003-8-13, to the Ahobala Mutt Srimath Sakalapa Sri Ranganathaswami for Poojan, naivethana, Deepa Dhana, Vastras to Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami of the Mutt, and Brammana Anthargana & the enjoyed via Mutt for ampura or succession on Sanna Manium tenure

Examined / Initialed / Roll Hole

× × ×
Col 14 Book 22
Remarks in Register
Col 14 Book 22 Vol 58 p 54
Col 7 (4) S.C. Initialed p 49
Chitty Entry 428 Book 4 Vol 4 — 4-2-13
Sanad granted in favour of Vinegada Bangy
5 Feb 1825

⊗ Hoobavale of the Year in which Sanad mamool was granted Rs 602-6-10
In this the Inams of Sanad Dasara are included

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

Hubzur Sarvamanyam
Village Account 1831
Sri Ahobala Matt
Sri Varasimhaswamy
for Poojay, nivettana, Deeparadhana,
Ootsava, & Annadanathirpana &c
Benakanahally, Mazadahally & Inneallere
Sifted as per Nerup Dated 5th Kanthirika Sudha of the
year Panthuvah.

P. 7 v

Kadim Beng 1035-5-12

Total Beng as per particulars
Contained in the Rammad of Sal Gend: } 1003-8-13
=astha

Benakanahally asli — 1
Dakhalee — 1
Beng 627-4-13

Deduct Jama Inam P. 7 v
2 Temples — 1-0
5 Personal — 22-7-5
Total Salam — 1-1-0 — 24-8-5
Balance Beng — 602-6-8
Vinga Dahale — 1-5-12
604-2-4

Pharma Collection 574-6-7
Further 29-5-13 604-2-4

A Kyfeat Cobla 1838 P. Nos 71 & 80

Sri Ahobala Mutt Sri Venkatanarasimha
for Poojay, nivettana, Ootsava &c
as per Rammad of 8th Kanthirika Sudha of the year
Panthuvah

Benakanahally asli — 1
Dakhalee — 1
Beng — 627-4-13
Deduct Jama Inam P. 7 v — 24-8-5
2 Temples — 1-0-0
5 Personal — 22-7-5
Total Salam — 1-1-0
24-8-5 — 602-6-8

Monya as per added to the
27-4-3
Beng — 631-6-7
asli — 656-4-12
6 min 24-8-5
631-6-7 ⊗

Khadir Mazagah 0-4-12
Kambale Do — 604-1-5-12
Total — 604-2-4

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDER .

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name. &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18

Irrvellore
Chengal Patt District
Madras Presidency
Rao Ahobal Mutt
Rao Lutchmi
narasimhaswamy
Ramanuja Gotagopa
Rao Gotagopaswami
High Priest for the
time being

Notes
A Independent Inams
5. Personal — 22 — 5
2 Devadaya — 1 —
Tope Talais 1 — 1 —
B. Subsequent
alienations incl
C Items enjoyed
Land, Revenue Institutions
Amrayas, Rents, Rayer,
Kattupan, Local Fund.

D. Statistics
Asli — 1
Dakhalee 1
Temples — 7
Tope — 2
Kuttes — 3
Kaveri Channel —
Shop — 6
Loom — 1
Oil Mill — 1
Houses — 237
Population — 700
Cattle — 750

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

A. adh - - - Grant
Shri Ravidala Matt Sri Lakshmi narasimha darwazu
Total Inam Rs 3010-7-6

Name of the village	Extent	Assessment	Jodi	Quit Rent	R No	Case No	Remarks
A Bandikonahally S. m -	"	1537-8-2	"	"	66	1	
B. Mejadahalli Do	"	1040-2-7	"	"	85	1	
C Nagalagere - Do	"	132-12 9	"	"	86	1	
Total	"	3010-7-6	"	"	-	-	

The mutt building is in good order and the requisite rites are efficiently rendered.
The Sanad does not convey the powers of alienation given as has been conceded in that of Sri Parakala Inam Mutt - They to remark that after the issue of the sanad to the Mutt some body has corrected in English which has not been noticed. In line No 38, it will deserved, that originally the words Sri Galavaru were entered, this has been corrected into Agathiakkada " (ಅ ಕ) which has no meaning - Perhaps the intention of the to use the word "ಅ ಕ ಡ ಲ ——— there being no sufficient space left to correct in full. the letter "ಲ" has omitted in the Copy of this Sanad entered in the counts, the words "Sri Galavaru have been plainly ed. It could not be ascertained the intention of the rection
The Village of A.B & C may be Confirmed free under rule V.
The items of Sundr & Sayer are enjoyed, but the latter item has not been enjoyed since Yoora as there is a Kattavadi -
Local Fund & Irrigation cesses are payable
A Copy of notification No 292 has been given
A Valuation Survey is desired

(Col: 19 Cont^d)

9 D. Boundaries not defined by the Survey
(signed) E. Rajagopauloo
19 9179.

(Column 20)

1. Confirmed free, but subject to all Local Funds & Irrigation Cesses
2 The item of Toddy is Conceded as per the Deed of Lease quoted in Col 11.
3 The item of Sayer is also conceded in the Ramad, but there has been no enjoyment since 18 , and under the last sentence of para 2 of Proc^d No 6 it is reserved.
4 Randal is exempted and reserved under the Ramad. The item of Teak is also reserved
5. A Valuation Survey is desired.

Chamarajnagar
22^d Septr 1879 } (Signed) Robt Cole
Sup^t Trg Main Line

6. N.B The Deed of Lease quoted in Col 11 is given in person to Mookhoma of the Mlnott Virajpet on 22-9-79

Initialed / Robt Cole
Sup^t Trg Main Line

7 Revised as per the Government Proceedings No 14223-5 dated 17th February 1894 - 07.100-93

8. Vide also the Detailed Survey Statement received from the Survey Supt^t Yadavanad No 399 dated 26 September 1902

A. G. R a p

Total area & assessment - 1028.38 - 3564-14-6
Deduct for 10 Minor Inams - 74.38 - 340 — —
Total - 954.0 - 3224-14-6

Particulars

Particulars

Uncultivable	174.8	2	"
Cultivated dry	360.18	329-14	"
Cultivated wet	418.23	2890-14	"
Garden Cultivated	0.30	2-2-0	
Cultivable (waste)	0-1	"	" 6
Total	954.0	3224-14-6	

9. Finally Confirmed free of tax.

(Sd) J. P. Grant.

(Register Inam Rolls.

" Copy "

Compd

Inam Settlt Office
Bangalore 4th August 1903

J P Grant
Register Inam Rolls

Register Sheet No 86.
Taluk. Talkad.
Hobli. Sosale.
Village. Nagalagere.
(Sarvamanya).

Copy of the Register of Inams in the Village of Nagalagere (Sarvamanya) in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Final Title-Deed. No. 36

Devadaya. —

No pymaish is available. —

Title Deed No. 595 71. B.
Date of preparation. 1-12-80
Date of issue
to Talati

Sammat of Sosale ——— *in the Taluk of* Talvad —

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9

Being as per Kannada 14.

Pagodas. 45-9-8

Deduct Jarishanam 0-3-0

Balance 45-6-8 = Rs 132-12-7.

Vide this column of the Mulki roobe. —

Free. —

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.	
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c, and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

Vide Asali case. ——

of Mysore. —

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Huzur Sarvamanya Villages account. 1831. —

Sri Ahobala Mutt.
Sri Narasimha Swamy.
Vide particulars in the Asali. —

Nagalagere. Asali. -1
Dakhali -1
Tank. -1
Beriz —— 44-8-8 P. T. V.
Deduct gari Inams 0-3-0
44-5-8
Vingada. -1-1-a
Total 45-6-8

Huzur Sarvamanya Vyjeat patti.. 1838 as above.
Nagalagere. Asali -1
Dakhalu -1
Tank. -1
Beriz —— 44-8-8
Deduct gari Inams 0-3-0
Balance. 44-5-8
Vingada Inamiya -0-1-4
Khadi Kempegowda's Inaggah } 0-9-12
45-6-8

as per hiroop
add Vingad Inamiyah. 0-7-4
46-3-12

Chedasal Beriz 46-6-12 T. V. 13
Deduct gari Inams 0-3-0
46-3-12

* Huttuvali of the year in which the Sarvamanyam was granted. — 45-9-8
Deduct gari Inams } 0-3-0
45-6-8

Hevilambi Huttuvali
37-6-6
Land —— 30-9-14
Inchatarfa. 1-5-8
Chiller. } 4-2-0
Bajebab }
Sayer. 0-7-12
Vingada Inamiyah } 0-1-4
37-6-6

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDER

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18

Trivellore.
Chinglepett Dist.
Madras presidency
Sri Ahobala Mutt
Sri Lakshmi Nara-
-simha Swamy.
Sreeman Satagopa-
Sri Satagopa Swami
High priest for the
time being. —

* population. — 140.
cattle. — 166.
ploughs. — 24

(Signed) B. Rajagopalah

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

Dakhale. C to asali. A.
se No. 1 of Binakanahally
egister Sheet No. 66. —
be confirmed free under
Rule. V.
aras 2 to 9 of the asali
apply to this. —
(Signed) B. Rajagopaliah.
19-9-79.

Notes.

dependent Inams. —

bsequent alienations.
— Nil. —

ems enjoyed. —

Inohatarfa. Amaraji
cal fund. —

tatistics. —
Asali. — 1.
Tank. — 1.
Kutte. — 1.
Tope. — 1.
Houses. — 130 including stalls etc.

1. Confirmed free, but subject to all Local fund & Irrigation cesses.
2. All orders on all other points, Vide column 20 of the Asali case, Register. No. 66. —

(Signed) Robert Cole.
Chamarajnagar —
D/. 22nd September 1879.

3. Revised as per the Government proceedings No. 14223-5 P.F. 100-93 Dated 17th February 1894. —
4. Vide also the detailed Survey statement received with the Survey Superintendents yadi No. 399 D/. 26th September 1902. —

	A	G	Rs.	A	P
Total Area & assst	154	28.	135	—	—
Deduct for a Minor Inam —	4	19.	3	8	—
Total	150	9.	131	8	—

particulars.

	A	G	Rs.	A	P
Unculturable. —	35	11.	0	8	0
cultivated.					
Dry. —	98	5.	69	4	0
Wet. —	14	36.	5	6	12
Garden. —	1	37.	5	0	0
Total.	150	9.	131	8	0

Column 20 th continued. ——

5. Finally confirmed free of tax. ——

(Signed). J. P. Grant.
Supt. of Inam Settlo.

Comd.
D
Bangalore
D. 4th August 1903

"Copy"

J P Grant
Superintendent of Inam Settlo.

Register Sheet No 85.
Taluk Saltad
Hobli Sosale
Village. Megadahalli
(Sarvamanya)

Copy of the **Register of Inams** in the Village of Megadahalli (Sarvamanya) in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent.	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5

Final Title Deed No 34

/

Devadaya. —

No paimaish is available. —

Title Deed. No 595 71. A.
Date of preparation. 1-12-80
Date of issue to
Taluk

Sammat of Sosale. *in the Taluk of* Talkad

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life,	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9

Pagodas. — 359-3-9.
Deduct garibhands. 1-8-0
Balance. 357-5-9 = Rs. 1040-2-7.

Vide this column of the Maale Cowle.

Free. —

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM	
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

Vide Asala. ——

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Huzur Sarvamanya Villages account.

1831.

Sri Ahobala Inuth. —

Sri Narasimha Swami.

Vide particulars in the Asali. —

Inegadahalli. Asali. 1

Dakhalle. 1

Beriz ——— P. 7-V 355-4-1

Deduct Jari Inam. 1-8-4

Balance. 353-2-1

Vingada — 0-8-0

354-0-1

Kharana Saguvali. 347-2-15

Future cultivation. 6-7-2

Total. 354-4-1

Huzur Sarvamanya Kyfeat Patti. —

1838

as above. —

Inegadahally. asali. 1.

Dakhali ——— 1.

Beriz ——— 355-4-1.

Deduct Jari Inams. 1-8-4

Net. 353-2-1.

Kempunoodu P. 7-V 0-3-0

Tobacco. 0-5-0

0-8-0

354-0-1

Vingada Inaniyah 3-5-8

as per Sannad —

357-5-9

Chedasal Beriz —

359-3-9

Deduct — Jari Inams 1-8-4

357-5-9

Huttuvali of the year in which the sarvamanyam was granted — P. 7-V 350-8-7

Hereelambi Huttuvali.

348-8-13.

Land. 304-8-14 P. 7-V

Inchatarfa. 2-6-4

Chillar bejebol. 28-9-4

Sayer. — 2-3-7

Vingad Inamya 10-1-4

340-8-13.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDER .

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18

Trivellore.

Chingalputt Dist.

Madras presidency.

Sri. Ahobala Muth

Sri Lakshmi Nara

– Simha Swamy

Sreeman Satagopa.

Sri Satagopa Swamy

High priest for the

time being. —

* population — 270.

Cattle — 670

ploughs — 120

(Signed). B. Rajgopaliah

19-9-11

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20
Dakhali B to asali A. case no. 1 of Binakanahally Village register Sheet no. 66. —— To be confirmed free — under Rule. II Paras 2 to 9 in the asali apply to this. —— (Signed). B. Rajgopaliah 19-9-79	1. Confirmed free, but subject to all Local Fund & Irrigation cesses. —— 2. All orders on all other points Vide column 20 of the Asli case Register no. 66. —— (Signed). Robert Cole Chamarajnagar. —— D/. 22nd September 79 3. Revised as per the Government proceedings no. 14223-5 P.7.100-93 Dated 17th February 1894. —— 4. Vide also the Detailed Survey statement received with the Survey Superintendent's Yadi no. 399. D/. 26th September 1902. —— Total Area & assst. 937-9-17. 3295-1-0 Deduct for a Minor Inam 13-10. 66-8-0 Total 924-7. 3228-8-0
Notes. Independent Inams. — Soli. —— P.7.V 1-8-0 Subsequent alienations. Nil. —— Items Enjoyed. —— Cud. Inchalarja. Sendi. Local fund. —— Statistics. —— Asali —— 1 Dakhali —— 1 Temple —— 5 Channel —— 1 Kuttes —— 3 Shops —— 2 Looms —— 2 Houses —— 183	Particulars. —— Unculturable. 279-31. A-G Rs-A-P 4-0-0 Dry cultivated. 195-5. 168-4-0 Culturable (waste). 0-27. 0-4-0. 1/4 assst. Wet cultivated. 448-24. 3056-0-0. Total. 924-7. 3228-8-0

20th. columns continued. —

5. finally confirmed free of tax. ——

(Signed) J. P. Grant

Supt. of Inam settlts

Compd.
H.
Bangalore
D. 4 August 1903

"Copy"

J Grant

Superintendent of Inam Settlts

(Register Sheet No. 33)

Taluk	Talakad
Hobli	Ramegasamudra
Village	Ramegasamudra

60/1,09 the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Channapatna **in the**

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
9.	Devasthanam	wet nos. 4 6 7 and 21.	Huzur Jaire Inam account of 1831-32 C.K.P. Kroshe 2-10-0	

Sammat of Ramgadamma &c **in the Taluk of** Talakad

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c, or liable to quit rent, i. e, jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
1.V Rs a p. 1.4½ = 31-12-8		For the Puspharchana Seva Abhishtha Ramanna Dasta, situate in Garag of Nerpat Taluk Pl. Ga taxes and Sanadu Sra Ramanna that is Copy of are Hereditary Grants.	Free

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original and original, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inamdar has produced or withheld such documents, whether the grant is produced or lost, and the general description of the documents produced should be given
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
		Bunzur Jain Inam account of 1831/32 Ahobala Mutt. Vritti — 1 Devaroya. Krishi. C.K.P. C.K.P. P. T.V. 1-00 = 2-10-00 10-9-4½ Do. 1855, Ahobala Mutt. Sri Chennakeya Bhupal Agrahar Vritti C.K.P. P. T.V. Wet. 2-10-00 10-9-4½ Rs. a. p. 31-12-8

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDER

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Trivallore Rin Mudaliar Swami Inam. Rin Rattaya Swami. Held Inam for the time being —			

ision.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

ract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on pses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20
Whole Grant. Devadaya Inam Valid to be Confirmed free of Under Rule V Ryot Inam account 1831-32 adopted (Signed) B Rayappa Naidu Asstt 22-7-79 No remarks called in the following Case. —	1. What was the origin of the Grant & by whom made? Show in the Record. 2 Was this Inamdar also supposed to keep up a house in the Agrahar (Initialled) Robt Cole 27-9-79 Supdt of Inam Settlt 3. Vide last para in Col 20, of the following Case, the land was assigned to the Inamdar & Temples & there is no allusion to any houses of theirs. 4. Confirmed free. — (Signed) Robt Cole. 30-9-79. Supdt of Inam Settlt

(Vol: 19. Case No 10)

and also the petition dated July 1879 addressed by Rajaman Nandamba Chari, Seshengar, and Narsimhengar agent & by the Ahobala Mutt, stating their ancestors had lived in the Agrahar for some time, but owing to the unhealthiness of the place they abandoned the place, and the petitioners praying the matter to H.H. He had no objection whatever in the person & Inamdar where they liked original Site if it is considered essential that the Agrahar ought should be rebuilt, a good & suitable may be selected and given to the Inamdar to erect

to 4. able Brahmins to construct the houses; & produce a Certificate from the Mirasdar of Huttoor alle & the Villages in the effect that as per Command there was an Agraharam built called Chamaraj Bhoopala Agraharam that some of the Vrittidars had lived there, that owing to the badness of the place, & Constant deaths and other Calamities, in the families of the Vrittidars, the place was abandoned, some 20 years ago, and that ruins of the buildings and wells &c, are still in existence

4. A similar Complaint Rarms, to whom been made about 4 or 5 years ago, the following short precis of the Correspondence will show how the matter has been disposed of by the Revenue Authorities - This Proceedings sent for from the Taluk records - On the 2d October 1874, the Amil of this Taluk brought to the notice of the Mookhya Officers, that no Agraharam was in existence, that the temple was in ruins, that the object of the grant was not fulfilled, that the land which had been granted for the Construction of the Agraharam, had been Cultivated & solicited permission to resume the Grant - In reply No 200 dated 24th Idem, he was directed to Enquire, and report whether Thotta Chor & Poojary were willing to put the temple in order or not, how much Inam had been allowed to it what was the yield, how much was spent for Expenditure & Deepa Dhoopa, what was the balance & whether the Brahmins had lived there or not - The Amil in his Peshkah No 309 of 2d Dec 1874. stated that near Krishnaraj 7½ Kandies of land has been granted as Inam to the temple, the yield was 70 Kandies, out of which 24 were granted to Poojary and the balance 46 Kandies was taken by Dorasawmenger for Expenditure &c to Narasimha Chor son of the Jaridar Thotta Chor, that there was no Agraharam & no Brahmins lived there - Orders No 238 of the 15th Dec 1874 - the Amil was directed to report, how many of the Vrittidars constructed houses as per Command & how many were not, who were enjoying the fees & whether the Poojary had been rendered 2 temples - The Amil in No 357 of 31st Jany reported that no Vrittidars lived in the Agraharam

(Col: 14 Contd=)

2 August 1875, the Amildar was requested to issue notice to Veeranna Shetty =(Chair and) to take deposition from him as he was willing to put the temple murder case & whether the Government should take its repairs & to report as to who was rendering Poojay, and how it was conducted and that the notices should be issued to Jamidars or in their absence to the thanadars, and to submit their Kyfeats as to whether they would build houses or not. A reminder was issued to the Amil, Collin for the required report & to submit the Proceedings. The Amil in submitting the Proceedings with his Dfillah No. 214 dated 3rd Nov. 1875, stated that few of the notices had been served, of whom Ramadu Govindroyal had been stated that he was the purchaser & not a Jamidar, that he may be allowed 6 months grace to produce all the reference connected with the Vritty in support of his claim; meanwhile Rao, the then Amildar of the Madoor Taluk had petitioned that he was not the sole Inamdar for the Vritty, but that he would Consult with his Co-sharers and report the result, Roobanna Chair had declared that he purchased the Vritty in 1858 and that had no objection to build the house [illegible] to other Vrittidars do the same,

2 [illegible]

respos

Venkatarayana

(Col 19 Contd)

Venkatanarayana Sastry stated that the Vrittis was obtained by gift, that he was not aware of the agraharam affairs, but that he would abide the orders of Circar.

Kondajis had deposed that he was not responsible for the Vrittees he was enjoying, but that they were purchased by Parthasarathy Nanjundiah, whose widow had gifted to certain temple built at Kennya-puram by the alienee. Sankaranah had deposed that he would build a house, if the other Vrittidars do the same, & that remaining notices were unanswered. In the deposition of Narasimhachar the Rajamani, he had stated that if the produce be restored to him he would Complete the repairs of the temple - no further action was taken with regard to the Construction of houses and the correspondence on the subject ceased entirely. The subject of the temple affair alone was noticed. After a long unnecessary correspondence the Inamdar Officer directed the restoration of the produce which was under attachment to Narasimhachar on condition that he should put the repairs into execution and finish the work within 6 months, & that he being Rajamani, he should be made responsible for the payment of the Poojary

5. From the Nirup dated 26th April 1818, it would appear that a piece of land measuring K.K. 1-2-12 assessed at Os. 4½ was granted in the

Kittavalli

Hattavalli Village, at the request of Thatta Chari and Dyagaroo Srinivasa Chari for building sites for the inmts of Old Baramahal on a Village, on the old locality of the latter village being proved unfavourable to them, and in the following year 1819, on the representation of Thatta Chari to the effect that if the waste uncultivated lands below the Channel of the Village be granted as Inam to Brahmins he would cause an agraharam built at the expense of the Vrittidars on the land already given and on which the Ekamra Bhaopala agraharam was ordered to be built & which was under Construction, H. H the late Maharajah Conveyed his Sanction in his Lrimp dated 2d July 1819 quoted in Col: 11 & directed the Amil to Continue the Inam in hereditary Succession with instructions to Brahmins to live in the agraharam peaceably wishing Continually the prosperity of the State &c

6. The Yejmans of these Vrittis Srinivasa Chari states that as per Memp. his father Thatta Chari, Dyagoo Srinivasa Chari, Vencatarumaniah, a descendant of Rechaiyne Chari & Goorumallu had built their houses & lived there for some time, the place being proved unhealthy and haunted by bad birds, the place was deserted, and on the representation of the matter to the Khavind, the Maharajah directed them to live where they liked & that he did not insist on them to build houses elsewhere. It appears that H. H has gifted

Separate

(Col: 19 Cont'd)

Separate houses to some of the Vinkidars, in Gujrat, where they now live. —

7. Among the original Vinkidars, I found only one and that is the Nejamoon or Arimma Chur Son of Thatha Chur, who is in possession of the ancestral Vinkis, the rest are all absentees, the particulars of which will be found in the following Cases.

8. If the allegation that His Highness permitted them to live wherever they liked better, as he did to the agraharamdars of the Kudlapur Vinkis, I think it is unnecessary for the Vinkidars to build houses again. The Nejamoon Thatha Chur I have mentioned by name and was a great favourite, being attached to the Palace Establishment. From this it may be presumed that permission might have been granted. If it is considered necessary that the Vinkidars should build the agraharam & live therein at a certain appointed place, some of them are willing, and some are not. In two or 3 Cases, the purchaser has gifted the Vinkis to a temple at Penugapatam and which has been enjoying them from a long period. It is difficult to get back from the temple as its manager is quite a different man and not the descendant of the last purchaser. I think it will be unfair of the manager to be asked on to build a house at his own expense and leave his permanent residence. The sale transactions are too numerous; & it will be difficult to find

out

(Col: 14 Contd)

out the declarants of Jamdars

4. In my humble opinion it is that the Inams may be Confirmed on the existing state of things. I recommend this on the presumption that H.H was aware of the non existence of the agrahar as H.H himself owned the Ownership of the property between the Yajamans Nair asimbas(their and his Don, in which no allusion is made of this agrahar house.—

10. I leave the above subject for such orders as the Superintendent may think best.

11. The temple alluded to is repaired, and the idol to be replaced, which, no ceremonies which has deposed will be done in Sravana, or on good days within 3 months from this date. The name of the idol is Vanu Gopalaswamy and not Lakshminarayana, as contained in the Command. It appears that the Jamidar did not find, at the time the latter idol, but had one of Vanu Gopalaswami which he placed and worshipped. I think the same idol should be replaced instead of a new one of the name of Lakshminarayana, as the former one has been worshipped ever since the grant was made.

(Signed) B. Rajagopalachariar.
14-7-79. Asstt.

(Col 20)

x x x

Para 4. I have examined the Command. It is said that the land is given to the Dewans (Maha-Linga and Lakshmi-Narayana)

to

(Col: 20 Cont^d)

to the Ahobala Mutt, and to the Brahmans who had built houses there and rescinded the land to the Devarus and Mutt may be Confirmed

× × ×

(Initialed) Robt Hole

30-9-79.

Dep^t Sec^y to Govt Rev^e Dept.

Dated 30th November 1903. Camp @ Davangere.

Copy

Dep^t Sec^y to Govt Rev^e Dept. in Mysore

Proceedings of the Govt-
of H. H. the Maharaja of
Mysore - Mysore Dept-

Read :—

Letter no 306 dated
25th May 1903, from the
Dy Commr, Mysore District,
reporting on the mismanage-
-ment of the Inam lands
endowed to the Sree
Shubhodra mutt, and
Adad situated in the
T. Narsipur Taluk.

And correspondence
ending with Docket no
69 dated 17th December 03
from the Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in
Mysore.

Order :—

The

The Ahobila matt at ~~Ahobilam~~ Tiruvallur Chengalpat District of the Madras Presidency, is endowed with the marginally-noted Inam lands and villages in the Mysore State, in addition to the cash grant of Rs 8-12-4 per annum, payable from the Varadarajaperumal Tirukkovil. The Inam Regr shows that these lands and villages, as well as the Cash Tasdik were granted for the support of the matt and the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple in Tiruvallur.

(1) Sevanampeta Pinnakandaliki village
(2) Nagadalapuram ..... "
(3) Nagalapuram ..... " A.4
(4) Hathamballi Vritti S.No. 75-77-79-81. 3-29 Ms
(5) Tulukanti Vritti S.no 810 ..... 2-22 Ms
(6) Dinakaran Vritti

without giving Asrama
to a successor; and
owing to disputes among
the disciples of the matt
a proper successor has
not yet been selected
by the community interested,
with the consent of His
the Govt of
Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, as required
in Govt Pro no 233-42
orig Ft 102-92
dated 14th December 1892.
A Receiver appears to have
been appointed by the
High Court of Madras,
for the management of
all the matt properties
in the Madras Presidency
and in the territories
of Mysore & Hyderabad,
but the Govt Advocate,
who

Who was consulted on
the subject, is of opinion
that the High Court of
Madras had no jurisdiction
to appoint a Receiver for
property situated in
the Mysore State. Petitions
have been received, complaining
of the mismanagement
of the lands and villages;
and the local enquiry,
as well as the accounts
submitted by the Receiver,
Mr Narasimhachar,
show that the income
from the Inam villages &
lands of the matt was
not properly applied and that the affairs
of the villages are
not satisfactorily
managed,
Since the appointment
of the Receiver - Thee
Offg Dy Commr, Mr
Kantaraj Urs, is keeping
of

of opinion that the management of the Wakf endowments may be taken over by Govt. in the Magisterial Department pending the appointment of a duly recognized Successor to the Wakf.

3. The Govt. concurs ~~with~~ in the view of the Offg Dy Comrs, and are accordingly pleased to direct that temporary management ~~possession~~ of all the Wakf property in the State, such as Govt. Inam lands and villages, as well as the Cash Grant of Rs 8-12-0 per annum, be ~~withdrawn~~ taken possession of—

of by the Dy Comrs in
the Mysore Department, with effect from
the 1st ~~February~~ July 1904.

4. The Dy Comrs is
requested to issue needful
instructions to the Amildars
of the 9 Nanjangud Taluk
to give due publicity to
the rayalu, that the
management of the endowment
in question will, from
the 1st ~~February~~ July 1904,
vest in Government and
not with the Receiver
on behalf of the Court.

5. The collection on
the lands and villages
shall be regularly
made by the Amildars
under the orders of
the Dy Comrs, as in
the case of the Govt villages
the same being remitted
by

by means of Remittance Transfer Receipts, drawn on the Bangalore District Treasury, in the name of the ~~Dy~~ Secretary to Govt. ~~in charge of the Municipal Department~~, in whose name the amount will be ~~invested~~ deposited in the Govt. Savings Bank, on behalf of the Matt. Such Remittance Transfer Receipts ~~should~~, ~~be sent~~ by the Auditor to the ~~Dy~~ Secretary direct; ~~to~~ ~~Maharajah's Palace~~; and the Dy Comr is requested to issue clear orders to the Auditor Matt, in view to avoid unnecessary delay in the matter of remitting the matt amounts

as in the case of the Vyasarayaswamy Matt remittances, he sent-

he may carry on correspondence
with the Dy Secretary Nagpur direct,
as regards G. I. P. R.
and other money questions
connected therewith —
The D. C. B. and other
statements shall also
be submitted to the
Nagpur Department
of Govt —

6. From the Vernacular
records received with
the Dy Comr's letter read
above, it is observed,
that the stipend order
for the Kusth ground of
Rs 8-12-0 per annum
payable to the matt
from the G. Nursery
Taluk, stood in the
name of Appannagar
who was the hereditary Agent
of

of the matt, and who is since reported to have died. A fresh stipend order in the name of the matt will be issued. The Auditor of the T. Nara- -sipur Taluk should draw this amount also give a receipt, & remit it to the Deputy Collector, for deposit in the Savings Bank

7. The question of restoring the management of all the endowments to the matt will be considered, after the appointment of a successor, duly recognized by the Government of

(10)

Of H. H. the Maharaja — This
Intimation of
order will be given by the Receiver.

Dpl-

Dy Secretary

Mysore Dept-

30/12/03

4.VI 04

To

The Dy Comrs, Mysore Dt-

" Comptroller, Mysore Govt-

" Supt- of Inam Settlements in Mysore

" Revenue Comrs in Mysore

" Secretary to Govt, Govt & Rev Depts

Mr R. Narasimhachar B.A.,
Receiver of
the Mutt Properties in the
Madras Presidency

READ—

Letter No. 306, dated the 25th May 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the mismanagement of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Ahobala Matt, situated in the T-Narsipur Taluk.

And

Correspondence ending with docket No. 69, dated 1st December 1903, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

No. 3909-14—MUZ. F. 55-01, DATED BANGALORE, 16TH JUNE 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—The Ahobala Matt at Tiruvallur, Chingleput District of the Madras Presidency, is endowed with the marginally noted Inam lands and villages in the Mysore State, in addition to the Malnad cash grant of Rs. 8-12-0 per annum, payable from the T-Narsipur Taluk. The Inam Register shows that these lands and villages as well as the cash Tasdik were granted for the support of the matt and the Sri Lakshminarasimhasawmi temple in Tiruvallur.

1	Sarvamanya Binakunahalli village		
2	Megadihalli		
3	Nagalagere		
4	Hittuvalli Vritti, S. Nos. 75, 77, 79, 81.	3-29	wet
5	Talkad Vritti S. No. 810	2-22	,,
6	Dinkam Vritti		

2. The Swamigalavaru died a few years ago, without giving *Asrama* to a successor; and owing to disputes among the disciples of the matt, a proper successor has not yet been selected by the community interested, with the consent of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, as required in Government Proceedings No. 233-42—Muz. F. 102-92, dated 14th December 1892. A receiver appears to have been appointed by the High Court of Madras, for the management of all the matt properties in the Madras Presidency and in the territories of Mysore and Hyderabad; but the Government Advocate, who was consulted on the subject, is of opinion that the High Court of Madras had no jurisdiction to appoint a receiver for property situated in the Mysore State. Petitions have been received complaining of the mismanagement of the lands and villages; and the local enquiry as well as the accounts submitted by the receiver, Mr. Narasimhachar, show that the income from the Inam villages and lands of the matt was not properly applied, and that the affairs of the villages are not satisfactorily managed, since the appointment of the receiver. The Officiating Deputy Commissioner Mr. Kantaraj Urs is therefore of opinion that the management of the matt endowments may be taken over by Government in the Muzrai Department, pending the appointment of a duly recognized successor to the matt.

3. The Government concur in the view of the Officiating Deputy Commissioner, and are accordingly pleased to direct that *temporary* management of all the matt property in the State, such as Government Inam lands and villages as well as of the cash grant of Rs. 8-12-0 per annum, be taken possession of by the Deputy Commissioner in the Muzrai Department, with effect from the 1st July 1904.

4. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to issue needful instructions to the Amildar of the T.-Narsipur Taluk to give due publicity to the raiyats, that the management of the endowments in question will from the 1st July 1904, vest in Government and not with the receiver on behalf of the matt.

5. The collection on the lands and villages shall be regularly made by the Amildar under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner as in the case of the Government villages, the same being remitted by means of Remittance Transfer Receipts drawn on the Bangalore District Treasury, in the name of the Muzrai Secretary to Government in whose name the amount will be deposited in the Government Savings Bank on behalf of the matt. Such Remittance Transfer Receipts should, as in the case of the Vyasaraya Matt remittances, be sent by the Amildar to the Muzrai Secretary *direct*, and the Deputy Commissioner is requested to issue clear orders to the Amildar, that in view to avoid unnecessary delay in the matter of remitting the matt accounts, he may carry on correspondence with the Muzrai Secretary direct, as regards P. S. T. R. and other money questions connected therewith. The demand, collection and balance and other statements shall also be submitted to the Muzrai Department of Government.

Muzrai, dated 16th June 1904.

6. From the vernacular records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter read above, it is observed that the stipend order for the Malnad cash grant of Rs. 8-12-0 per annum, payable to the matt from the T-Narsipur Taluk, stood in the name of Appanniengar, who was the local Agent of the matt and who is since reported to have died. A fresh stipend order in the name of the matt will be issued. The Amildar of the T-Narsipur Taluk should draw this amount also once a year, and remit it to the Muzrai Secretary for deposit in the Savings Bank.

7. The question of restoring the management of all the endowments to the matt will be considered *after* the appointment of a successor duly recognized by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja. Intimation of this order will be given to the receiver.

M. SHAMA RAO,
Offg. Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
Matt Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Departments.
Mr. R. Narasimhachar, B.A., Receiver of the properties in the Madras Presidency.

Exd.—G. S.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 16th June 1904

No. 3909-14
Muz. F. 55-01

Directing that the management of the Inam villages, etc., endowed to the Sri Ahobala Matt be temporarily taken over by Government in the Muzrai Department.

SDT—87-04—200

No. 245

7-8-1904

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Muzerai Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.3909-14 Muz.F.55-01 dated 16th June 1904, I most respectfully beg to submit the following few lines inview to a ~~recommendation~~ reconsideration of the above order by the Government, more in justice to me personally, if not in reference to the whole matter disposed of by the Order.

In the above order the Government have been pleased to direct that temporary management of all the Ahobile Mutt properties such as Inam lands and villages &c. in the Mysore State be taken possession of by the Deputy Commissioner in the Muzerai Department from 1st July 1904 on the grounds:-

(1) That the Government Advocate who was consulted is of opinion that the High Court of Madras had no jurisdiction to appoint a Receiver for property ~~by~~ situated in the Mysore State, and

(2) That petitions have been received complaining of the mismanagement of the lands and villages and that the local enquiry as well as the accounts submitted by me show that the income from the Inam lands and villages of the Mutt was not properly applied and that the affairs of the villages are not satisfactorily managed since my appointment as Receiver.

2. It pains me much to be obliged to state that a decision of such great consequence has been arrived at by His Highness' Government and the foregoing remarks have been passed regarding my administration of the Mutt properties without my being given an opportunity to explain and defend myself against the

petitions received against me, in reference to the local enquiry made by the Amildar or Deputy Commissioner and in the matter of the accounts submitted by me. A vast portion of the properties of the Mutt, is situated in different parts of the Madras Presidency amidst innumerable disciples of the Mutt. It is no matter for surprise that some among them actuated by various motives, are ever ready to criticize my conduct and management. But no question has arisen about them although it is now four years since I took up the management. I am in duty bound to submit to the Court which appointed me accounts of receipts and expenditure once every fortnight and to deposit in the Bank of Madras all sums in excess of Rs.1000 within the 5th of every month. I have also given security to the satisfaction of the Court for the due administration of the income of the Mutt.

3. I applied for copies of the petitions referred to in the above Order and also of the papers relating to the local enquiry made with a view to explain myself about them. But they have been refused. So I am obliged to content myself with surmises and speculations in defence of my character The materials on which the Government acted must be meagre and unsatisfactory, because the order of the Government does not indicate in what respect the properties of the Mutt have been mismanaged and in what way the incomes have been misapplied. I respectfully submit that it is not in accordance with justice that a charge which so seriously affects my character as that of mismanaging trust properties and misapplying trust funds should have been investigated and deemed proved without my having been given any notice of it. If the Petitions have emanated from Shambogue Mallappa as I am told by rumour that they have, I would state he not being in the service of the Mutt for the last 20 years could not have known the real state of things and that between him and the Mutt disputes arose

many years ago and still continue,' or if they are the outcome of ill-feeling of some of the Tengalai brahmins of T. Narsipur who are always inimicably disposed towards the Mutt; or if they are the expression of some discontented ryots against whom I had to file suits for recovery of rent, I leave them to the wise consideration of the Government as to what they are worth. The local enquiry alluded to in the order has been made behind my back and without my knowledge. Again as regards the accounts which I submittedaccording to the instructions of Mr. B. Kristna Row, the then Assistant Commissioner in Charge of the Muzerai Department, no enquiry was made of me as to what items of expenditure are correct or incorrect, well or ill applied and no explanation taken from me which if wanted, I would have been ever ready to furnish. Before concluding this portion of the subject, I beg to submit that the observations of Government in the above G.O. in regard to mismanagement of the Mutt villages and the misapplication of money collected are based on no solid facts and I have every confidence that if an opportunity is given I will be able to convince the Government that the villages have not been mismanaged or the money misapplied. Any substantial misapplication of the Mutt monies was possible only in the Madras Presidency of which no allegation has ever been made: The items of expenditure in the Mysore State are only a few small amounts.

4. Next, regarding the opinion of the Government Advocate that the High Court of Madras had no jurisdiction to appoint a Receiver for property situated in Mysore, I beg to submit that the point arose in Civil Revision Petition No.123 of 01-02 in the Chief Court, Bangalore, and it has been decided on the facts put forth before it that "my right to collect the rents and profits of the Mutt lands in Mysore having been recognized by the Muzerai Department no question arises as to the

power of the District Judge of Chingleput to appoint a Receiver of the Mutt lands in Mysore". Probably the above point was not put forth before the Government Advocate. I presented an application to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore before I began to manage the villages and I obtained his approval which has been held suffiotent by the Chief Court in the above Civil Revision Petition. The Mysore Government represented by the Deputy Commissioner ratified my appointment. If the above facts had been brought to the knowledge of the Government Advocate, he would not, I think have given an opinion adverse to the decision of the Chief Court which is presumably a better authoritybthan the Government Advocate.

5. In the Muzerai Department of Government itself, it will be seen from a copy of the Proceedings dated 12-7-04 No.253-4 En.File that the Government recognized my appointment and passed orders in my favour on the petition of my agent dated 22-1-03 in regard to the building of the Mutt at J. Narsipur.

6. Again in regard to the Review petition filed by Shambogue Mallappa for a reconsideration of G.O.No.1369/P.F. 22-93 dated 31-3-1903, the Government of Mysore in the Revenue and General Department issued a notice to me and I was heard and orders passed in my favour.

7. In these circumstances I most respectfully submit for consideration that the properties of the Mutt having once vested in me thus, whether any disability can arise in me to hold them and whether it is fair to divest me of it by an executive order of Government and that without any enquiry or notice to me.

8. Turning to the authority of texts, there do not seem to be any authority for the opinion of the Government Advocate. In Woodroffe's Law relating to Receivers Page 19,

wefind "In the case of Receivers it is not necessary in all cases in order to authorize the Court to make an appointment that the property in respect of which the appointment is to be made should be within the local limits of its jurisdiction. It is well settled that the Court can appoint Receivers over property out of its jurisdiction, the power being based on the doctrine that the Court acts in personam. Thus Receivers have been appointed of property situated in Ireland, India, Canada, China, Australia etc. Again In 2 Mysore Chief Court Reports at page 36, there is a case reported in which the question whether a Receiver appointed in a Foreign Court could sue a man in Mysore Territory in Mysore Courts, directly arose At page 38 of the said Mysore Chief Court Reports Vol.II, the Hon. The Judges have quoted with approval some passages from White & Tudor's Leading Cases in Equity Vol.2 Page 1069 and Kerr on Receivers page 101. "They say there is abundant authority for the proposition that the Court has power to appoint a Receiver for collecting debts due from a person living in foreign countries." Thus it will be seen even according to the authority of the texts, the opinion of the Government Advocate has not a strong basis to rest upon.

10. Considering then the circumstances of the case, it is submitted that there do not seem to be any ground for even the temporary resumption of the management of the Mutt Inam Villages.

11. Although there is no Swamulavaru in the Mutt, the daily puja or worship, Naiveethana, Dheeparathana of the Deity Sri Lutshminarasimha Swami and the Brahmana Santharpana in the Mutt have to be carried on as usual and these are being regularly performed at Narasimhapuram near Kumbakonam in the Tanjore District of the Madras Presidency. Any information in respect to the matter may be ascertained from the District Judge of Chingleput under whom I am working or from

the Collector of Tanjore within whose jurisdiction the Deity is located or from a Special Commissioner appointed for the purpose for inspection and report. If the Collections of the villages &c are withheld as will be the case if the Inam villages &c. in the Mysore State are resumed though temporarily, the puja &c. and the Santharpana in the Mutt for which purposes the Inam villages &c. have been granted by the late Maharajah will suffer and the primary object of His Highness defeated. So long as the said purposes continue in the Mutt, there do not, I submit, exist any ground for the interference of Government. The daily puja and worship of Lakshminarasimhaswami in the Ahobila Mutt buildings at Mysore and Melkota have to be conducted as till now and the annual festivals at Melkota and T.Narsipur Mutts have to be performed and the Mutt buildings at these two latter places have also to be rebuilt or repaired.

12. The Mutt will also be a loser in one other way. There are many suits pending in the additional District Munsiff's Court, Mysore, and the Mutt will suffer by the dismissal of these suits.

13. I am prepared to abide by any terms which the Government of Mysore may dictate for the management of the Inam villages &c.

14. It may not be out of place to state here that my status is not merely that of a Receiver appointed by a Court of Justice. The late revered ~~Siva~~ Swamigahivaru of the Mutt appointed me as his agent of the Mutt under a General Power of Attorney and in consequence, my rights and duties necessarily continued after his death till I hand over the properties to his successor.

15. Under these circumstances I most respectfully pray that the above order of Government may be reconsidered and

cancelled and that pending the final disposal of this petition the order may be kept in abeyance.

I beg to remain

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

M. Narasimhalu

Receiver for the properties

of the Ahobila Mutt,

Trivallur, C H I N G L E P U T.

20th September 1904

With a copy of the order of the Chief Court, Bangalore, in C. R. P. No 123 of 01-02.

& with a copy of the Dy. Commissioner's order dy 12.4.01

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE
BANGALORE

In the Chief Court of Mysore at Bangalore

Friday the 13th day of November 1903.

Present.

Hon: Justice A Ramachandra Iyer,
Chief Judge.

and

Hon Justice G. F. Meiklejohn, Judge.

Civil Revision Petition No: 123 of 1901-02

Malle Linga Gowda — Petr. (Appt)
(By Mr B Ranganadhappa)

vs

M. Narasimhar — Ist. Pet
Plf: Receiver to the
(By Mr. L. Sreenivasaraw property of Sree
and C. S. Doraswamy Iyer, Mahadeshwara Mutt

Petition against the order of the Subordinate Judge of Mysore in Small Cause No 54, Mis. No: 286 of 00

This Petition coming on for hearing

on

on Monday, the 3rd Day of August 1903, the court pronounced the following.

Order.

The right of the Counter Petitioner to collect the rents and profits of the Inatt Lands in Mysore has been recognized by the Imperial Department Consequently no question arises as to the power of the District Judge of Chingalpett to appoint a Receiver of the Inatt Lands situated in this Province

The Subordinate Judge was right in Granting the Counter Petitioner's application to receive the Suit. The question for decision is not whether the present Plaintiff has denied any right to the property in dispute from the deceased Plaintiff, but whether the cause of action relates to the property of which he is in lawful possession as Manager. Inasmuch as he has a present right to represent the estate, the right to sue for rent survives.

It is contended that the application was barred by limitation But section 372 A of the code of Civil Procedure gives power to the Court to excuse the delay. We see no reason to hold in revision that the Judge did not properly exercise his discretion in granting the Petition.

The Defendant contends that he is entitled to hold the land on reduced rent. He is at liberty to establish the alleged right by a regular suit. The decision in this small cause suit is no bar to such a suit The Petition for revision is dismissed with costs.

(Sgd). A. Ramachandra Iyer.
Chief Judge

(Sgd) G. F. Isaiah Stephen
Judge

Costs payable by Petitioner, Mualle Linguy Gowda, to Counter Petitioner. Its Narasimha Char are Rs 7 as detailed below.

Court fee on Power of attorney	Rs-A-P 2-0-0
Advocate's Fee	5-0-0
Total Rs	7-0-0

(Signed) A. Ramachandra Iyer,
Chief Justice

(Signed) G. F. Henriques,
Justice.

True copy"
Benjamin
By Registrar.

Date of application 27-11-03
stamp & fees } —00—
were called for }
stamps & fees } —00—
were deposited }
copy was ready 5-12-03
copy was delivered 5/12/03

Charges of copying & stamp —7—

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI BRANCH ... N OF MYSO
1703

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

ನಂ. 171

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ತಾ 12. 4 1901. ಸಹಿ) H. Ramanujiengar

A/g. Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

[signature]

Manager

Muzrai Secretariat

No of words 80

Copying fee 0-1-6

Comparing 0-0-4

Cop applied on 7-7-03

ready on 7-7-03

delivered on 7-7-03

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI BRANCH ... N OF MYSO

Compd.
[illegible]

MYSC
1154

1904

From

M. Narasimhacharya
Receiver for the
properties of the
Ahobila mutt

To the Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt.

Nos 295 & 296
E. 2

Dated 20.9.04
Recd

Subject

Submitting petitions
with a view to the resus-
citation of the orders
passed re - temporary
resumption of the Inam
belonging to Ahobilamutt
etc. etc.

No 312

12th October 1904

Tirunelveli

Correspondence
Rs
25/10/04

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Dept.

Honoured Sir,

With reference to my petition to the Govt: of Mysore in the Muzrai Dept: praying that the order passed in G.O. No 3909-14 Mzy Jr. 55 dy 16.6.04 — resuming temporarily the management of the Ahobila Matt: Inam villages and lands in the Mysore State, may be reconsidered and that pending the final disposal of the above petition, the G.O. may be kept in abeyance in order that immediate loss arising to the matt: may be prevented — more especially with reference to the suits pending in courts &c, I beg to submit herewith a communication from my pleader at Mysore dy 9.10.04 stating that about 14 suits filed on behalf of the matt are pending before the Additional District Munsiff of Mysore, that many of those are for hearing on the 7th of November next, that the Court being of opinion that the suits cannot be continued in my name under the present circumstances, has refused to

next
c with.

want further adjournments of the cases, i.e. unless an order from the Government of Mysore authorizes me to proceed with the suits to produced before that date, the said suits would be dismissed and that this would entail much loss to the mutt.

Under the circumstances, I pray that early orders may be passed to the Courts to adjourn the suits or if it is thought desirable, authorize me to proceed with the suits, pending the final disposal of the matter in my petition.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M Narasimhachari
(Receiver for
the Ahobila mutt.)

Mysore
9th Oct 1904

Dear Sir.

The Chief Court of Mysore wants the Savanur Physical Patrika (ಸಾವನೂರು ಫಿಸಿಕಲ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ) of the Hegadahally Village for the second appeal files on behalf of the Mutt against one Ankanny Sastry and it has asked the Additional Munsiff of Mysore to get the book from the party and send it at once. Please ask Mr. Sesha Iyengar for it and send it to me as early as possible. The second appeal cannot be

heard until the book is produced.

About 114 cases filed on behalf of the suit are now pending before the additional munsiff of Mysore many of these are for hearing on the 7th of nov. Witnesses have to be summoned and examined. The management of the village having been assumed by the Govt of Mysore, the Court is of opinion that the suit cannot be continued in your name unless you get an order from the Govt authorizing you to proceed with the suits.

under the advice of your last-
letter, I got the cases adjourned
twice. The munsiff warned
me that he would not adjourn
the cases any longer and that
if the order from the Govt is
not produced before the 7th
of Nov, he would dismiss
the suits. Thus would entail
much loss to the mutt. I beg
you to move the Govt-
ment in this behalf and get the
necessary orders as early as
possible.

I remain
Yours sincerely
K Subba Rao

1904

From

M Narasimhaswamy
Asst
Receiver of the
Properties of the Kolar Gold
Fields

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mujarai Dept

No 312

Dated 12.10.04
Rect

E. 1

Subject

Praying for orders at an early date, to the Mysore A. Govt to adjourn certain Suits or authorize him to proceed with them





To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
MUZERAI DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

I beg to submit the accompanying petition with a view to the reconsideration of G.O.No.3909-14 Muz F.55-01 dated 16-6-04 resuming temporarily the management of the Inam villages and lands in the Mysore State, belonging to the Ahobila Mutt:, and pray that in consideration of the circumstances stated therein, orders may be issued, pending the final disposal of the matter in the petition, that the G.O. may be kept in abeyance in order to prevent immediate loss arising to the Mutt:, more especially with reference to the suits pending in Courts etc.

I beg to remain,
Honored Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

20th Sept: 1904
Tiruvallur (chingleput)

M. Narasimhachar
Receiver for the properties of the
Ahobila mutt

Code / Class

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS

Monthly Number. 0026

To (Office)		From (Office)	
Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
21	3	10	2	Ld

To (Person)	From (Person)
Mineral Secretary Elysee Mt. Clarence Walk Perth	

Have sent orders on my petition
dismissed & writing suits quited seventh

BACK OFF 3-11-04

Recd from LN. Sent to LN.

At Hrs Mts by At Hrs Mts by Telegraph Master

32 Word Form P.C.F.

U G P—No 170 T—27 9 1903—4000000

Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja

~~OFFICE OF THE DEWAN~~ OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT,

No: 1918
Muz: 7: 55-07

Dated Bangalore 29th November 1904

ENDORSEMENT granted to Mr. R Narasimha Char, Receiver for the properties of the Ahobala Matt ~~at~~ Tiruvellore,

in reply to his appeal Petition Registered Nos 1154/296 and 1616/312 dated 20th Sept. 1904 & 12th Oct. 1904 respectively stating that as "Receiver of the properties appointed by the High Court of Madras, he has jurisdiction to collect rents &c in the Mysore State & requesting that the management may be left in his hands till a successor to the matt is appointed & that the Govt. order of the 16th June 1904 may be held in abeyance pending disposal of his review petn. to prevent losses arising with respect to certain suits pending in Courts.

Petitioner is informed that the Govt. passed final orders in the matter in their Pro: no 3909-14, Muz: 7. 55-04, dated 16th June 1904, directing that the temporary management of all the properties of the Ahobala matt in the State including the lands &c be taken over by Govt. in the Muzrai Deptt. The Govt. do not see any grounds to revoke their order under which the endowment has been resumed by Govt. for want of a properly appointed Swami to the matt They decline to pass any orders regarding the suits said to have been filed The appeals are accordingly rejected

By. Order,

Dewan's order)

24/11/04

~~Deputy~~ Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

17/11/04

17.11.04

No: 1919
29:11:04

Copy is forwarded to the Dy Commissioner

Mysore District in continuation of Govt. No.

No 3909-14 dated 16th June 1904, with a request-
Mys Jr 55-01

to be informed what action was taken on the
receipt of the Govt. order referred to above

DFC

24/11/04

Executive

Engineer

for
17/11/04